Provisional Maturity Science Review For NOAA-20 VIIRS Polar Winds

Presented by Jeff Key and Jaime Daniels

Date: 2018/10/02

JPSS Data Products Maturity Definition

1. **Beta**

- Product is minimally validated, and may still contain significant identified and unidentified errors.
- Information/data from validation efforts can be used to make initial qualitative or very limited quantitative assessments regarding product fitness-for-purpose.
- Documentation of product performance and identified product performance anomalies, including recommended remediation strategies, exists.

2. **Provisional**

- Product performance has been demonstrated through analysis of a large, but still limited (i.e., not necessarily globally or seasonally representative) number of independent measurements obtained from selected locations, time periods, or field campaign efforts.
- Product analyses are sufficient for qualitative, and limited quantitative, determination of product fitness-for-purpose.
- Documentation of product performance, testing involving product fixes, identified product performance anomalies, including recommended remediation strategies, exists.
- Product is recommended for potential operational use (user decision) and in scientific publications after consulting product status documents.

3. **Validated**

- Product performance has been demonstrated over a large and wide range of representative conditions (i.e., global, seasonal).
- Comprehensive documentation of product performance exists that includes all known product anomalies and their recommended remediation strategies for a full range of retrieval conditions and severity level.
- Product analyses are sufficient for full qualitative and quantitative determination of product fitness-for-purpose.
- Product is ready for operational use based on documented validation findings and user feedback.
- Product validation, quality assurance, and algorithm stewardship continue through the lifetime of the instrument.

PROVISIONAL MATURITY REVIEW MATERIAL

VIIRS Polar Winds Team

Name	Organization	Major Task
Jeff Key	STAR	Project management, DB winds
Jaime Daniels	STAR	Project management, algorithm development and testing
Wayne Bresky	IMSG	Algorithm development and testing
Andrew Bailey	IMSG	Algorithm development and testing
Rico Allegrino	IMSG	Validation
Dave Santek	CIMSS	Algorithm and product testing
Rich Dworak	CIMSS	Algorithm and analysis
Steve Wanzong	CIMSS	Algorithm and product testing
Hongming Qi	OSPO	Operations
Walter Wolf and others	STAR, AIT	Implementation

VIIRS Polar Winds (VPW) in Brief

VIIRS Polar Winds are derived by tracking clouds features in the VIIRS longwave infrared channel

- Wind speed, direction, and height are determined throughout the troposphere, poleward of approximately 65 degrees latitude, in cloudy areas only
- Wind information is generated in both the Arctic and Antarctic regions
- The algorithm utilizes the Enterprise cloud height, phase, and mask



S-NPP VIIRS winds, Arctic

NOAA-20 VIIRS Winds Examples



Left: Arctic, 28 Jul 2018, 1942Z



Right: Antarctic, 28 Jul 2018, 2033Z

Requirements

JPSS L1RD supplement (threshold) requirements versus observed

Attribute	Threshold	Observed/validated
Geographic coverage	~70° latitude to poles	~65° to poles
Vertical Coverage	Surface to tropopause	same
Vertical Cell Size	At cloud tops	same
Horizontal Cell Size	10 km (should be ~19 km, CCR Aug 2015)	same
Mapping Uncertainty	0.4 km (nadir); 1.5km (edge of scan)	0.57 km
Measurement Range	Speed: 3 to 100 m s^{-1}; Direction: 0 to 360 degrees	same
Measurement Accuracy	Mean vector difference: 7.5 m/s	5.7-7.0 m/s (w/raobs)
Measurement Precision	Mean vector difference: 4.2 m/s (was 3.8 m/s)	2.7-3.8 m/s (w/raobs)
Measurement Uncertainty	Not specified	Not applicable

AMV Performance Metrics

AMVs (QI>60) are matched and compared against RAOBS or GFS model analysis winds. Metrics:

$$Accuracy = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(VD_i)$$

$$\Pr ecision = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}((VD_i)-(MVD))^2}$$

where:

$$(VD)_i = \sqrt{(U_i - U_r)^2 + (V_i - V_r)^2}$$

U_i and V_i ---> AMV
U_r and V_r ---> “Truth”

Processing Environment

- The NOAA-20 winds are not yet in NDE. OSPO is expecting VPW to be installed on the NDE I&T string in October.
- NOAA-20 VIIRS winds evaluated here were produced by STAR/ASSISTT and also by CIMSS.
- For S-NPP VPW:
  - NDE 2.0.12
  - VPW algorithm version: 2.1

Evaluation of algorithm performance to specification requirements

- Findings/Issues from Beta Review:
  - A Beta review was not performed. The S-NPP VIIRS winds were declared Validated Maturity in late 2016. There were no issues.
- Improvements since ~~Beta~~ S-NPP Maturity Review (2016)
  - Algorithm Improvements: None
  - LUT / PCT updates: None
- Algorithm performance evaluation – See the following slides.

Validation Strategy

- Derive winds over both poles using overlapping NPP or NOAA-20 VIIRS orbits

- Derive winds with full product precedence in place
  - Enterprise cloud mask (ECM) product is used
  - Cloud Products (cloud-top temp, pressure, phase, type) are generated as part of the product precedence chain

- Collocate (in space and time) derived satellite winds with reference ("truth") winds
  - Radiosonde wind observations (Land)
  - Aircraft wind observations (Land & Ocean)
  - GFS analysis winds (Ocean)

- Generate comparative statistics (satellite winds minus reference winds)
  - Accuracy
  - Precision

Derived Motion Winds Test Plan – Offline Validation: Truth Data

- Radiosonde wind observations serve as a key validation data source for derived motion wind products
    - Used by all operational satellite processing centers that generate satellite derived motion winds
- Aircraft wind observations
- GFS Model Analysis Wind Fields





Validation Statistics

NPP VIIRS Winds vs. Radiosondes July 5-29, 2018

100_1000mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
Accuracy	5.79	5.79	0.00	0.00
Precision	3.58	3.58	0.00	0.00
Speed Bias	1.03	1.03	0.00	0.00
Speed	20.44	20.44	0.00	0.00
Sample	4668	4668	0	0

101_400mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
Accuracy	6.39	6.39	0.00	0.00
Precision	3.76	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed Bias	1.33	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed	23.85	2[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Sample	2085	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

401_700mb	90S – 90N	25N[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Accuracy	5.42	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Precision	3.40	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed Bias	0.81	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed	18.95	1[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Sample	2071	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

701_1000mb	90S – 90N	25N[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Accuracy	4.81	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Precision	3.13	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed Bias	0.66	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Speed	12.56	12.56	0.00	0.00
Sample	512	512	0	0

Observed
Accuracy: 5.79-5.99 m/s
Precision: 3.58-3.64 m/s

Requirements:
Accuracy: 7.5 m/s
Precision: 4.2 m/s

NPP VIIRS winds generated at OSPO

NOAA-20 VIIRS Winds vs. Radiosondes July 5-29, 2018

100_1000mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
Accuracy	5.99	5.99	0.00	0.00
Precision	3.64	3.64	0.00	0.00
Speed Bias	1.02	1.02	0.00	0.00
Speed	20.19	20.19	0.00	0.00
Sample	3860	3860	0	0

101_400mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
Accuracy	6.36	6.36	0.00	0.00
[illegible]sion	3.82	3.82	0.00	0.00
[illegible] Bias	1.23	1.23	0.00	0.00
[illegible]	23.71	23.71	0.00	0.00
[illegible]e	2073	2073	0	0

[illegible]700mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
[illegible]acy	5.79	5.79	0.00	0.00
[illegible]sion	3.47	3.47	0.00	0.00
[illegible] Bias	0.53	0.53	0.00	0.00
[illegible]	17.93	17.93	0.00	0.00
[illegible]e	1190	1190	0	0

[illegible]1000mb	90S – 90N	25N – 90N	25S – 25N	25S – 90S
[illegible]acy	5.10	5.10	0.00	0.00
[illegible]sion	3.16	3.16	0.00	0.00
Speed Bias	1.28	1.28	0.00	0.00
Speed	12.47	12.47	0.00	0.00
Sample	597	597	0	0

NOAA-20 VIIRS winds generated at STAR. Statistics include only VIIRS winds at 12Z. NOAA-20 VIIRS Winds/Raob co-location files being reprocessed for the month of July to include 00Z matchups

Validation Statistics with GFS

Note: SNPP VIIRS winds are currently assimilated in the GFS, so these statistics are only to provide confidence in the quality of the NOAA-20 VPW product.

NPP VIIRS Winds vs. GFS Analysis

Sept 17-27, 2018

QI > 60

Metric	NH	SH
All Levels (100 – 1000 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	3.87	4.78
Precision *(m/s)*	2.56	3.48
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.69	0.54
Speed *(m/s)*	15.79	19.87
Sample	107044	79908
High (101 – 400 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	4.61	5.11
Precision *(m/s)*	3.30	3.77
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.43	0.48
Speed *(m/s)*	22.77	21.18
Sample	21001	47356
Mid (401 – 700 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	3.88	4.34
Precision *(m/s)*	2.42	2.90
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.90	0.81
Speed *(m/s)*	15.96	18.44
Sample	58583	24213
Low (701 – 1000 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	3.27	4.12
Precision *(m/s)*	2.01	3.04
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.46	0.15
Speed *(m/s)*	10.08	16.56
Sample	27460	8339

NPP VIIRS winds generated at OSPO

NOAA-20 VIIRS Winds vs. GFS Analysis

Sept 17-27, 2018

QI > 60

Metric	NH	SH
All Levels (100 – 1000 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	4.16	4.08
Precision *(m/s)*	3.12	1.96
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.95	0.44
Speed *(m/s)*	16.88	22.58
Sample	14419	10616
High (101 – 400 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	5.12	4.14
Precision *(m/s)*	3.96	1.95
Speed bias *(m/s)*	1.41	0.37
Speed *(m/s)*	21.72	23.83
Sample	4637	8758
Mid (401 – 700 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	3.98	3.77
Precision *(m/s)*	2.72	1.88
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.76	0.64
Speed *(m/s)*	16.54	17.44
Sample	6921	1383
Low (701 – 1000 mb)		
Accuracy *(m/s)*	3.05	3.91
Precision *(m/s)*	1.68	2.14
Speed bias *(m/s)*	0.64	1.01
Speed *(m/s)*	9.87	14.42
Sample	2861	475

NOAA-20 VIIRS winds generated at STAR.

S-NPP and NOAA-20 Comparison, Arctic





S-NPP and NOAA-20 Comparison, Antarctic





S-NPP and NOAA-20 Comparison, cont.







Winds are from STAR. Matchups are within 10 km, two orbits 50 min apart. Dates: 20180815, 20180918, 20180919, 20180920, 20180921

Statistics:
count = 27976
Spd rms = 3.5
Dir rms = 22.9
Press rms = 85.1
Mean pres diff = -9.2
Mean spd diff = 0.06
Mean dir diff = -0.39

NOAA-20 and MODIS Comparison







VIIRS and MODIS winds were generated at CIMSS. Matchups are within 60 min and 10 km. Dates: 20180815, 20180918, 20180919, 20180920, 20180921

Statistics:
count = 18900
Spd rms = 3.3
Dir rms = 23.6
Press rms = 95.1
Mean pres diff = -7.0
Mean spd diff = -0.07
Mean dir diff = -0.15

Evaluation of the effect of required algorithm inputs

- Required Algorithm Inputs
  - VIIRS SDR granule files containing science data (radiances) for 16 Moderate Resolution Bands over north and south polar region. Each polar pass has 14~18 granules.
  - VIIRS granule files containing geolocation data.
  - VIIRS granule files containing cloud data over polar region.
  - The 0.25 degree global AVHRR only Daily OISST.
  - GFS 6-hour global forecast data at 0.5 degree in GRIB2 format from NCEP (Vertical profiles of NWP temperature, wind, and pressure; NWP level for the surface and tropopause)
- Upstream algorithms: Cloud detection (ECM) and properties (cloud phase/type and top pressure)
- Evaluation of the effect of required algorithm inputs: Sensitivity to input cloud products. As an example, see the NDE 1.0 vs 2.0 Northern Hemisphere case on the next slide.

NDE 1.0 vs 2.0: Northern Hemisphere



Nearly all of the yellow and red wind barbs fall exactly on top of each other. There a few red wind barbs where corresponding yellow wind barbs don’t exist.



The histograms of heights assigned to the winds in both systems agree very, very well.

In the SH case (not shown) there are more differences between the NDE 1.0 and NDE 2.0 VPW winds, which we attribute to known errors in the cloud mask.

Quality Indicators

- All derived winds are subject to the following quality assurance checks and are flagged if test thresholds are exceeded
  - Correlation check (threshold = 0.60)
  - Correlation match occurs on the boundary of the search scene
  - u- and v-component acceleration checks (threshold = 10 m/s)
  - Minimum speed check (threshold >= 3 m/s)
  - Directional (threshold = 50 deg) and speed checks (threshold = 8 m/s) against forecast
- Quality indicators are computed and appended to each derived wind vector
  - Quality Indicator (QI)
  - Expected Error (EE)

Quality Indicators, cont.

- QI Component Tests:
  - AMV Direction Consistency Check
  - AMV Speed Consistency Check
  - Vector Consistency Check
  - Spatial Consistency Check
    - Test of the spatial wind consistency of the AMV with its closest neighbor.
  - Forecast Check (Optional)
    - Comparison of AMV against NWP wind interpolated to AMV location and time.
- Expected Error (EE)
  - Originally developed at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (LeMarshall et al., 2004) as an alternative to the QI.
  - Based on a linear regression of collocated AMV – RAOB vector differences using predictors that include the QI consistency tests and other vector and NWP information
  - Regression produces an error estimate in m/s rather than a normalized score.

Quality Indicators, cont.

- Both the QI and EE have their strengths. The EE estimated vector reliability values have a closer 1-to-1 relationship with actual RMS errors measured against raobs. The QI tends to rank more vectors as reliable, especially fast AMVs.
- Both methods are used as AMV quality flags. Users can selectively employ the flags in their local quality control.
- AMVs that pass both EE and QI thresholds are kept.

Exception Handling

- The algorithm checks whether the time interval is valid and that the temporal data has been loaded properly.
- The algorithm checks that the search region is larger than the target scene.
- The algorithm checks the sensor data flags to see if channel data is valid.
- If the AMV retrieval is not performed, the retrieved parameters are set to a missing value and the quality flags are set to the lowest quality value.

Error Budget

Attribute Analyzed	L1RD Threshold	On-orbit Performance	Meet Requirement?	Additional Comments
Accuracy	7.5 m/s	5.7-7.0 m/s	Yes	Raob, aircraft
Precision	4.2 m/s	2.7-3.8 m/s	Yes	Raob, aircraft
Horizontal cell size	10 km	19 km (inherent to the algorithm)	Yes	
Mapping uncertainty	0.4 km nadir; 1.5 km EOS	0.57 km	Yes	MS2GT and McIDAS

Users

13 NWP centers in 9 countries of polar winds, some using VIIRS winds operationally:

- U.S. Users:
  - NCEP (Dennis Keyser)
  - NRL/FNMOC (Randy Pauley)
  - GMAO/JCSDA
- Foreign Users:
  - UK Met Office (Mary Forsythe)
  - JMA (Masahiro Kazumori)
  - ECMWF (Jean-Noel Thepaut)
  - DWD (Alexandar Cress)
  - Meteo-France (Bruno Lacroix)
  - CMC (Real Sarrazin)
  - BOM (John LeMarshall)
  - EUMETSAT (Simon Elliott)
  - Russian Hydrometcenter (Mikhail Tsyrulnikov)
  - CMA (China)

Users, cont.



Courtesy of Naval Research Lab

User Feedback

- Over the last decade, model impact studies have demonstrated that model forecasts for the extratropics are improved when the MODIS polar winds are assimilated. Forecasts can be extended 2-6 hrs, depending on the location.
- NWP users have reported similar results for the VIIRS Polar Winds at the recent International Winds Workshops (2016, 2018). From NRL: "*The VIIRS ob impact is noteworthy—20% of the polar wind ob impact from 11% of the polar wind obs.*"

Organization	Use VPW operationally	Currently monitoring	Plan to use?
NCEP	Yes (SNPP)		Yes (early 2019 for N20)
DWD	Yes		
Navy	Yes		
ECMWF	Yes		
Met Office		Yes	Yes
CMC	Yes		
MeteoFrance		Yes	Yes

Awaiting information from the other NWP centers.

Risks, Actions, and Mitigations

There were no risks identified at the S-NPP Validated Maturity Review in late 2016. No other reviews have been performed since then.

Identified Risk	Description	Impact	Action/Mitigation and Schedule
None			

Documentation

Science Maturity Check List	Yes ?
ReadMe for Data Product Users	Yes
Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD)	Yes
Algorithm Calibration/Validation Plan	Yes
(External/Internal) Users Manual	Yes
System Maintenance Manual (for ESPC products)	Yes
Peer Reviewed Publications (Demonstrates algorithm is independently reviewed)	Yes
Regular Validation Reports (at least annually) (Demonstrates long-term performance of the algorithm)	Yes

Check List - Provisional Maturity

Provisional Maturity End State	Assessment
Product performance has been demonstrated through analysis of a large, but still limited (i.e., not necessarily globally or seasonally representative) number of independent measurements obtained from select locations, periods, and associated ground truth or field campaign efforts.	All requirements have been met
Product analysis is sufficient to communicate product performance to users relative to expectations (Performance Baseline).	Yes
Documentation of product performance exists that includes recommended remediation strategies for all anomalies and weaknesses. Any algorithm changes associated with severe anomalies have been documented, implemented, tested, and shared with the user community.	Yes (no significant anomalies or weaknesses)
Product is ready for operational use and for use in comprehensive cal/val activities and product optimization.	Yes

Conclusion

- Cal/Val results summary:
  - Team recommends algorithm Provisional Maturity. Validated Maturity declaration should not be a problem after more data are available.
  - Caveats: None

Path Forward

- Lessons learned for N20 cal/val:
  - No issues
- Planned improvements:
  - Algorithm plans: Parallax correction
  - Research: Day-night band; S-NPP/NOAA-20 dual winds (PGRR project)
- Future Cal/Val activities / milestones:
  - See cal/val plan


150
65
-150
70
120
-120
75
80
90
-90
60
-60
30
0
Above 400 hPa
400 to 700 hPa
Below 700 hPa

Thank you!

Extra Slides

Requirement Check List – VIIRS Polar Winds

JERD	Requirement	Meet Requirement (Y/N)?
JERD-2139	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has vertical coverage from the surface to the tropopause	
JERD-2140	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a horizontal resolution of 10 km	
JERD-2141	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a vertical reporting interval at cloud tops	
JERD-2142	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a mapping uncertainty (3 sigma) of 5 km	
JERD-2143	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a measurement range of: 3 to 100 m/sec for speed and 0 to 360 degrees for direction	
JERD-2144	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a measurement precision mean vector difference of 3.8 m/sec	
JERD-2145	The algorithm shall produce a polar winds product that has a measurement accuracy mean vector difference of 7.5 m/sec	

References

- Baum, Bryan , P. Yang, Yang, Ping; Heymsfield, Andrew J.; Platnick, Steven; King, Michael D.; Hu, Y.-X., and Bedka, Sarah T., 2005: Bulk scattering properties for the remote sensing of ice clouds, part II: Narrowband models. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, Volume **44**, Issue 12, pp.1896-1911.

- Coakley, J.A, and F.P. Bretherton, 1982: Cloud cover from high resolution scanner data: detecting and allowing for partially filled fields of view. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 4917− 4932.

- Dunion, J. and C. Velden, 2002: Application of Surface-Adjusted GOES Low-Level Cloud-Drift Winds in the Environment of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones. Part I: Methodology and Validation. *Monthly Weather Review*, Volume 130, Issue 5 pp. 1333–1346

- EUMETSAT, 2005: The EUMETSAT wind vector automatic quality control scheme. EUM/OPS/TEN/05/1747, 10 pp.[Available online at http://www.eumetsat.int/Home/Main/Publications/Technical_and_Scientific_Documentation/Technical_Notes/SP_1124282585834?l=en .

- Hamada, T., 1983: On the optimal time-interval of satellite image acquisition for operational cloud motion wind derivation. *Meteorology Center of Japan Meteorological Agency Tech. Note 7,* 79–87.

References

- GOES-R GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document For Derived Motion Winds, Version 2.0, Sept 30, 2010

- Hansen, M., R. DeFries, J.R.G. Townshend, and R. Sohlberg (1998), UMD Global Land Cover Classification, 1 Kilometer, 1.0, Department of Geography, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 1981-1994.

- Holmlund, Kenneth. The utilization of statistical properties of satellite-derived atmospheric motion vectors to derive quality indicators. *Weather and Forecasting*, Volume **13**, Issue 4, December 1998, pp.1093-1104.

- Holmlund, Kenneth; Velden, Christopher S. and Rohn, Michael. Enhanced automated quality control applied to high-density satellite-derived winds. *Monthly Weather Review*, Volume **129,** Issue 3, 2001, pp.517-529.

- Jedlovek, G. and R. Atkinson, 1998: The Marshall automated wind algorithm: Error analysis, quality control and climate applications. *Proceedings 3rd International Winds Workshop,* Saanenmoser, Switzerland, pp. 247-254.

- Key, J., D. Santek, C.S. Velden, N. Bormann, J.-N. Thepaut, L.P. Riishojgaard, Y. Zhu, and W.P. Menzel, 2003, Cloud-drift and Water Vapor Winds in the Polar Regions from MODIS, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, 41(2), 482-492.

40

References

- LeMarshall, J. A., A. Rea, L. Leslie, R. Seecamp, and M. Dunn, 2004: Error characterization of atmospheric motion vectors. *Aust. Meteor. Mag.*, **53**, 123-131
- Menzel, W.P., 1996: Report from the working group on verification statistics. *Proceedings 3rd International Winds Workshop,* Ascona, Switzerland, 10-12 June 1996, Pages 17-19.
- Merrill, R.T., 1989: Advances in the automated production of wind estimates from geostationary satellite imagery. Preprints, *Fourth Conf. on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography*, San Diego, CA , Amer. Meteor. Soc., 246−249.
- Merrill, R.T., W. P. Menzel, W. Baker, J. Lynch, and E. Legg, 1991: A report on the recent demonstration of NOAA's upgraded capability to derive cloud motion satellite winds. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **72**, 372–376.
- Minnis P., P. Heck, D. Young, C. Fairall, and J. Snider, 1992: Stratocumulus cloud properties derived from simultaneous satellite and island-based instrumentation during FIRE. *J. Appl. Meteor*., **31**, 317-339.
- Nieman, S.J., J. Schmetz, and W.P. Menzel, 1993: A comparison of several techniques to assign heights to cloud tracers. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **32**, 1559−1568.

References

- Otkin, J. A., D. J. Posselt, E. R. Olson, H.-L. Huang, J. E. Davies, J. Li, and C. S. Velden, 2007. Mesoscale numerical weather prediction models used in support of infrared hyperspectral measurements simulation and product algorithm development. *J. Atmospheric and Oceanic Tech.*, 24, 585-601.

- Ottenbacher, A., M. Tomassini, K. Holmlund, and J. Schmetz, 1997: Low-Level Cloud Motion Winds from Meteosat High-Resolution Visible Imagery. *Weather and Forecasting* Volume 12, Issue 1, pp. 175–184

- Rossow, W.B., F. Mosher, E. Kinsella, A. Arking, M. DeBois, E. Harrison, P. Minnis, E. Ruprecht, G. Seze, C. Simmer, and E. Smith, 1985: ISCCP cloud algorithm intercomparison. *J. Climate Appl. Meteor.*, **24**, 877−903.

- Schmit, T., Gunshor, M., Menzel, W., Gurka, J., Li, J., and Bachmeier, A., (2005) Introducing the next-generation advanced baseline imager on GOES-R. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, Volume 86, Issue 8, pp 1079-1096.

- Shenk, W. E., 1991: Suggestions for improving the derivation of winds from geosynchronous satellites. *Global Planet. Change*, 4, 165–171.

References

- Velden, C.S., and K.M. Bedka, 2008: Identifying the Uncertainty in Determining Satellite-Derived Atmospheric Motion Vector Height Assignments. Conditionally accepted in *J. Appl. Meteor.*

- Velden, C., J. Daniels, D. Stettner, D. Santek, J. Key, J. Dunion, K. Holmlund, G. Dengel, W. Bresky, W.P. Menzel, 2005: Recent innovations in deriving tropospheric winds from meteorological satellites. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc*., 86, 205-221.

- Velden, C., D. Stettner, and J. Daniels, 2000: Wind vector fields derived from GOES rapid-scan imagery. *Proc.10th Conf. on Satellite Meteor. and Oceanography*., Long Beach California, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 20–23.