MSG Radiance Definition Issues

Marianne König GSICS, 19 – 21 Feb 2008



Original MSG Radiance Definition

The SEVIRI thermal channels can be seen as a radiance thermometer. The calibrated radiance is provided as a spectral blackbody radiance in units mW m⁻² sr⁻¹ (cm⁻¹)⁻¹



Relation between brightness temperature T_B and MSG radiance L is provided by this equation: This is the monochromatic Planck relation with the two Planck constants c_1 and c_2

 $c_1 = 1.19105 \ 10-5 \ mW \ (cm^{-1})^{-4} \ m^{-2} \ sr^{-1}$ $c_2 = 1.43877 \ K \ cm$



New MSG Radiance Definition

Users, however (and GSICS!) would prefer a radiance definition as a so-called effective radiance – which is also closer to what the instrument actually measures

$$L_{eff} = \frac{\int L_v r_v dv}{\int r_v dv}$$

 \boldsymbol{r}_{ν} is here the spectral response of the instrument.



Visual Explanation

Radiance L

Instrument measures this integral; as a calibrated value this should correspond to $\rm L_{\rm eff}$

This L^{eff} corresponds to a brightness temperature, and the old MSG radiance definition expresses this brightness temperature as a monochromatic L for a certain centre frequency

Frequency v



Web Link

Details are explained on

http://www.eumetsat.int/groups/ops/documents/ document/pdf_msg_planned_change_level15.pdf







Computed difference between the two radiance definitions, expressed as a temperature difference



Conversion

Conversion between old and new radiance definition is in principle straightforward via

 $T_{\rm B}$ is a function of L via Planck's Law

This T_B is inserted into an effective radiance formula of the form

$$(L_{eff}) \approx \frac{c_1 v_c^3}{exp(c_2 v_c/[\alpha T_B + \beta]) - 1}$$

 α , β are band correction factors, ν_c is a defined centre frequency (equation results from fit of synthetic values)





Conversion

We have used this conversion to produce an effective radiance for the IASI comparison – as we want to compare all the spectral IASI radiance to the MSG radiance and not to the MSG temperature (expressed as monochromatic radiance).

- Note: The old radiance definition is not "wrong" the data should only be used in a consistent way.
- With this interchangeability between L and L_{eff} we have no problem ...



However!

... but this is not the end of the story:

During the process of defining the processing system for the new radiance definition, a number of inconsistencies and real software bugs were cleared up:



List of Changes

- Instrument ground characteristics was completely redone and is now consistent with the image processing
- Non-linearity of the instrument was newly determined
- Non-linearity dependence on background temperature now incorporated
- Software bug because of filter artefacts during blackbody scan (~0.1% radiance reduction)



Official Radiance to Temperature Conversion

Within this process, consistent and official tables for the $L_{\rm eff}$ – T relations were set up: These are available from

http://www.eumetsat.int/home/Main/Access_to_Data/ Meteosat_Meteorological_Products/Calibration/ SP_1119512219822

L_{eff} – T fits to these tables are of course possible, but under the user's responsibility!

Table resolution: 0.25 K between 100 K and 250 K, 0.1 K above 250 K



Table vs. Fitted Formula



Figure 1 Meteosat 8: Difference of the EBBT determined with the parametric formula and determined with the tables used by IMPF. The difference is plotted in Kelvin vs. the EBBT.



Example of the temperature discrepancies using a widely used fit (which is also available on the EUMETSAT web site) of the kind





EUMETSAT Operations

- New radiance definition for Meteosat-9 is running on a second operational data stream, which is also disseminated in parallel (since 21. January 2008)
- Full operational service with the new radiance definition will be resumed on 04 April (Met-9) and on 14 April (Met-8)
- What remains to be done: reprocessing of archived MSG data into the new radiance definition



Summary

- The change of the radiance definition did not only put this definition in line with common user expectations, but it was also the opportunity to clear up a few inconsistencies and software bugs in the image processing.
- It should be mentioned that the overall effect is fairly small, so that a simplified derivation of L_{eff} from L for the initial MSG – IASI inter-calibrations seemed justified.

