

# J1 CrIS Noise Performance & Impulse-Noise/Bit-Trim Mask Optimization

Mark Esplin, Deron Scott, Bryce Walker, and Ben Esplin

# Outline

- Excellent CrIS NEdN performance
- NEdN subtle issues
  - NEdN dependency on photon flux sometimes not as expected
  - Differences in electrical side1 to side 2
- Optimizing bit-trim mask
- Impulse mask considerations
  - Radiation causes spikes in interferograms
  - Detecting/correcting spikes in FIR filtered interferograms



#### **Extensive J1 NEdN Measurements During TVAC**

- NEdN from both operational and staring mode
- Three sensor plateaus
  - (PFL) Proto Flight Low (ICT at about 262 K)
  - (MN) Mission Nominal (ICT at about 278 K)
  - (PFH) Proto Flight high (ICT at about 314 K)
- Both electronic sides
- Different power supply voltages
- With induced vibration





- MW FOV9 out of family with other FOVs
- MW FOV9 slightly above spec value
- MN (Mission Nominal) plateau staring mode

#### **Operational Mode MN NEdN**



Staring and operational mode NEdN nearly identical
 MN 287 K ECT, side 1



#### **Allan Deviation**



- Alternative way to characterize noise behavior
- Standard deviation of sets with increased averaging
- Single spectral channel per band (868, 1234, 2528 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- MN, 287 K ECT, operational mode, side 1
- Bottom trace is Matlab random noise

http://www.allanstime.com/AllanVariance/



6

#### **PFL NEdN**



PFL (Proto Flight High) temperature plateau
Operational mode, 287 K ECT, side 1





- PFH (Proto Flight High) temperature plateau
- Slightly higher NEdN

spacedynamics.org

Operational mode, 287 K ECT, side 1

#### **NEdN Verses Photon Flux**

- NEdN expected to increase with photon flux
- Band averaged NEdN of operational mode data
  - LWIR 680-1020 cm<sup>-1</sup>, MWIR 1220-1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, SWIR 2160 2400 cm<sup>-1</sup>
- In general NEdN increases with photon flux as expected
- Exception for large contrast between ECT and CrIS sensor
- Excess noise seen in PFL and PFH
- Behavior may be due to ground testing vibration issue
- Vibration issues also seen during SNPP CrIS TVAC



#### **MWIR Operational NEdN**



Average NEdN goes up with photon flux
 MW FOV9 NEdN consistently increases with photon flux
 Component of NEdN difference between sensor and ECT



#### **MWIR Expanded Scale**



Average NEdN goes up with photon flux
 Component of NEdN difference between sensor and ECT



# **SWIR Operational Mode**



- Average NEdN goes up with temperature
- Component of NEdN difference between sensor and ECT
- Possible indication of vibration effects
- Staring mode data didn't show as large effect

#### PFL 200 K ECT

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spectrally correlated noise is insignificant

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

13

#### PFL 310 K ECT

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spectrally correlated noise dominates random noise

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

14

#### **Allan Deviation Also Show Non-Ideal Behavior**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

PFL 310 K ECT

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Same cases as previous two slides
- In 310 K case noise increased and character changed
- Bottom plot Matlab random number generator

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Electronic Side 1 Side 2 NEdN Differences**

- Differences in NEdN between side 1 and side 2 have been observed
- Actual differences or random measurement error?
- Operational data more representative of on-orbit operation
- LTR (Long Term Repeatability) data set
  - Consists of 36 collections 2 hours each
- Averaged over spectral band to produce one NEdN point for each FOV per 2 hour measurement
  - Removed LWIR NEdN tail (band averaged over 750 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
  - Only real data shown, imaginary results are similar
- Concatenated measurements into one time series
- First half side 1, second half side 2

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **NEdN Trend for MWIR LTR**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

With FOV9 Removed

- FOV9 is out of family
- FOV 8 has positive side 1 to side 2 jump
- FOV7 has negative jump

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

17

# LWIR LTR

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Bar chart gives alternative view of data
- Bars are the standard deviation of data for side 1, side 2, and combined side 1 and side 2
- Shows if differences are statically significant

### **MWIR and SWIR LTR**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

MW FOV8 shows large side 1 side 2 difference
SW side 1 to 2 differences are not statistically significant

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Bit-Trim Mask Optimization**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Number of bits needed to define an interferogram depends on interferogram position
- Larger number of bits needed near center, less in wings
- Lut Desert Iran, 6/21/2015

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Bit-Trim Mask Optimization**

- Bit-trim is a lossless data compression technique
- Needs to be optimized for best performance
  - Bit-trim too conservative waste bandwidth
  - Too aggressive corrupt bright scene data
- J1 can benefit from SNPP data
- Compare largest interferogram amplitude for each interferogram point of a scene with bit-trim mask
- Pick SNPP scenes with high dynamic range
  - Australia February 23, 2012 (orbit 01671)
  - Andes Mountains March 12, 2013 (obit 07113)
  - Lut Desert, Iran July 14, 2012 (orbit 03689)

Use same bit-trim mask for J1 as presently used for SNPP

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **LWIR Bit-Trim Mask**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Absolute value of maximum interferogram at each interferogram position is plotted with positive part of bit-trim mask

SNPP interferograms are always below bit-trim mask

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **MWIR and SWIR Bit-Trim Masks**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Interferogram Spikes**

- Radiation can cause spikes in interferograms
- Impulse mask designed to zero out interferogram spikes
- SWIR is most affected (smallest detector current)
- Impulse mask operates on interferograms before FIR filter
- Electrical offsets and low frequency signals cause false triggers
- Impulse mask must be set high to avoid false triggers
- Small spikes are not presently being detected/corrected
- Many more small spikes than large spikes
- A method of detecting spikes is through interferogram asymmetry
- Small spikes can be detected/corrected on the ground

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Geographical Distribution of Interferograms with Spikes

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Medium sized SW spike January to May 2015

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Low Earth Orbit Radiation Distribution**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### From NASA/SAMPEX satellite

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Can't Use Scaled Bit-Trim Mask for Impulse Mask

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Diagnostic mode allows view of raw interferograms
Bit-trim mask scaled to raw interferogram levels
Electronic offset about 95 counts for LW and MW
Beginning of scan transient can be around 200 counts

#### **Example of Spike in SW Interferogram**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Filtered interferogram is absolute value
- Many SW interferogram have small amplitudes
  - High dynamic range in the SW scenes
- Xin Jin estimated 123 spikes/day for side (less than 0.07%)

### **Detecting Interferogram Spikes**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

- A simple amplitude mask isn't very effective to detect spikes
  - Bright scenes can be larger than spikes
- Interferograms should be symmetric
- Interferogram phase makes direct left side to right side comparisons difficult
- Absolute value of interferogram plotted

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Binned Interferogram**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Interferograms can be binned to make side to side comparisons
- Example is for 6 interferogram points/bin and skip ZPD area
- Right side of interferogram has been flipped
  - Difference clearly show spike

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

30

### **Spikes Are Not Single Sample Events**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Raw diagnostic mode data
- Data points indicated by "x" connected by straight lines
- Shape similar to that of a damped oscillator
- Least-squares fit to spike
  - Subtract modeled spike from interferogram

# Affect of Filtering and Decimating on a Spike

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Modeled spike
- SW band
- Fit uses real and imaginary componet

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Example of Spike Removed from Interferogram**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Correction though subtracting modeled spike from original interferogram

- No residual error visible
- FOR 27, FOV6, 2/18/2015 18:11:11.367

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Expanded Vertical Scale**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Fit to spike is very good
- Residual error not visible in expanded view of interferogram

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Expanded View of Corrected Interferogram**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spike residual error not visible
Quantization noise clearly visible

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

- CrIS J1 has excellent NEdN performance
- Evidence for vibration effects in ground testing
  - Not an issue for MN
  - Noticeable for PFL and PFH
- Some FOVs show consistent differences in side 1 to side 2 NEdN
- Bit-trim mask optimization for CrIS SNPP can be applied to J1
- Impulse mask needs to be set high to avoid false triggers
- Number of interferogram effected by spikes is low with respect to operability requirement of 99%
- Radiation spikes can be detected and removed through ground processing

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)