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INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT
In Canada, an average of $300$ million was spent yearly on fire management in Canada. For better decision-making, improving the accuracy of wildfire growth prediction is one of the most challenging but needed tasks for wildfire management agencies.

In this study, we proposed the deep sequence learning models to wildfire growth prediction, considering the similarity of the two tasks. We built a benchmark dataset for daily fire progression of 256 large fires in Canada. We evaluated a Recurrent Neural Network called the Convolutional Long Short Term Memory (ConvLSTM) with three model architectures. Intersection over Union (IoU) is used to evaluate the predicted images relative to the ground truth.

Our objective is to predict wildfire growth for the next day given the current day’s fire perimeter as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. An example of input and output wildfire images.

DATA PREPARATION
Currently, one of the biggest challenges in evaluating deep learning methods in wildfire growth prediction is the absence of dataset. In this study, we built a benchmark dataset by merging the MODIS hotspot [1] and wildfire final perimeters from the Canadian National Fire Database.

- 256 large fires (>500ha) in Alberta are used to build the A benchmark dataset as shown in Figure 2.
- The daily fire images is generated following steps in Figure 3.
- 6 feature variables are included in the ConvLSTM, including temperature, precipitation, wind speed, Fine Fuel Moisture Code, Duff Moisture Code, and Drought Code.

Figure 2. Visualization of the 256 wildfires in 2015.

METHODS

GENERATE TRAINING FIRE IMAGE

Figure 3. A high-level overview of the data processing flowchart (left) and an example of the daily fire images generating process.

MODEL IMPLEMENTATION

Figure 4. ConvLSTM model architecture.

MODEL EVALUATION

The overall functionality of the ConvLSTM [3] is to produce the n-th wildfire image, given a sequence of 5 images:

- Generator - This is used to convert the sequential fire evolution frames to an array of images of the specified batch size.
- ConvLSTM - This represents the part of the model in which we train the model to predict the next frame.
- Decoder - Having sequential behaviour same as generator but in reverse, this represents the part of the model where we reconstruct the image and compare it with the ground truth.

The overall functionality of the ConvLSTM [3] is to produce the n-th wildfire image, given a sequence of 5 images:

- Generator - This is used to convert the sequential fire evolution frames to an array of images of the specified batch size.
- ConvLSTM - This represents the part of the model in which we train the model to predict the next frame.
- Decoder - Having sequential behaviour same as generator but in reverse, this represents the part of the model where we reconstruct the image and compare it with the ground truth.

RESULTS

ConvLSTM with real fire image

Figure 5. Input frames of fire ST and ground truth result compared with predict from model with features (above) and without (below) features.

The predicted images from the basic model without any features produced the average IoU value of 0.754, whereas the model with features produced average IoU of 0.417.

The better performance of the model without features may because additional features increased the complexity of learning, so improving the model architecture and enlarging the number of training data-set might help the model with additional features to obtain better results.

ConvLSTM with simulated data

The simulated data was used to test if the ConvLSTM model works for wildfire growth prediction problem. The Conv2D predicted image had similar patterns compared to the ground truth, indicating the model framework is suited for wildfire growth prediction task.

Figure 6. Ground truth and predicted images for ConvLSTM model with simulated data.

SUMMARY

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we evaluated three deep sequence learning models for wildfire spread prediction and we conclude our study as follows:

- Generated a benchmark dataset for deep sequence learning in wildfire growth prediction
- Evaluated three baseline models, including
  - ConvLSTM with simulated data
  - ConvLSTM with real fire images - no features
  - ConvLSTM with real fire images - 6 feature
- Results suggested models trained with real fire images outperformed models trained with simulated data, however, models without features is better than model with features
- Identified key challenges in using machine learning for wildfire growth prediction, including lack of data, size mismatch of wildfire and the extent of landscape, and imbalanced time interval for different fires.
- Overall, this study demonstrated the feasibility of using ML and image process techniques for wildfire growth prediction.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Improve the quality of the feature variables, especially the weather input. The feature variables may also be improved by normalizing with the global mean.
- Improve the model capacity and replace the loss function (MSE) with pixel-wise entropy.
- Increase the number of wildfire used for the training (currently only 256 wildfires in Alberta, Canada).
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