

2nd NOAA Workshop on Leveraging AI in Environmental Sciences - Session 26: AI/ML for Information Extraction from Data, Part 2

Kick: Shift-N-Overlap Cascades of Transposed Convolutional Layer for Better Autoencoding Reconstruction on Remote Sensing Imagery

### Seungkyun Hong, Ph.D. (candidate)

Korea University of Science and Technology (UST) Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information (KISTI) [Contents]
1. Background
2. Methods
3. Target Data
4. Results
5. Conclusion

### 00 | Before we begin…

### This presentation

- May discuss contents previously published in IEEE<sup>®</sup> Access journal (Hong and Song, 2020): IEEEXplore: #9110496, doi: <u>10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000557</u>
- Describe an impact of deconvolutional layers in unsupervised autoencoder modeling

### IEEE Access

Itidisciplinary ‡ Rapid Review ‡ Open Access Journal

Received April 23, 2020, accepted May 24, 2020, date of publication June 8, 2020, date of current version June 18, 2020. *Digital Object Identifier* 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000557

### **Kick: Shift-N-Overlap Cascades of Transposed Convolutional Layer for Better Autoencoding Reconstruction on Remote Sensing Imagery**

#### SEUNGKYUN HONG<sup>®</sup>, (Member, IEEE), AND SA-KWANG SONG

Department of Data and HPC Science, Korea University of Science and Technology (UST), Daejeon 34113, South Korea Research Data Sharing Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information (KISTI), Daejeon 34141, South Korea

Corresponding author: Sa-Kwang Song (esmallj@kisti.re.kr)

This work was supported in part by the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information (KISTI) through the Project "Establishing a System for Sharing and Disseminating Research Data" under Grant K-20-L01-C04, and in part by the Korea National Supercomputing Center with supercomputing resources under Grant KSC-2019-CRE-0257.



# 01 | Background

### How this research began?

- Fresh attempt to 'extract and predict' upcoming atmospheric events, from large-scale remote sensing imagery 'rapidly'
- Preliminary model surveys for meso-scale weather prediction tasks
  - Typhoon Eye Localization (GlobeNet: arXiv:1708.03417)
  - Next Sequence of Satellite Images Prediction (PSIque: arXiv:1711.10644)





Feature

Extraction



## 01 | Background

• Issue #1: Correlated models share common part which trained separately





# 01 | Background

Issue #2: A deconvolution process matters on reconstruction quality

Checkerboard artifacts from deconv.





- Every deconvolutional process MUST produce checkerboard artifacts
- Furthermore, variations of deconvolutional process on decoder provide considerable differences with fixed encoder operation during End-to-End learning
- Therefore, **reconstruction quality** in **convolutional-based autoencoder** is **highly dependent on decoder**

**Reconstruction results from deconv.** 





### 02 | Methods | Related works





### 02 | Methods | Our solution



Shift-N-Overlap Cascaded Deconvolution



### 02 | Methods | Overall

- An unsupervised autoencoder model can extract principal factors and learn both key visual features and desired data distribution by itself
- A universal and versatile encoder-decoder model is demanded for multi-purpose prediction tasks from wide observation area





# 03 | Target Data | MTSAT1R/2,COMS

### Preparation of homogeneous observation dataset







Image rearrangement and reprojection

Final image arrangement

- Each satellite program operates on limited operational plan (due to a durability)
- Observation area reprojection is mandatory,

due to the reconstruction tendency of void space in autoencoders

- Additional image rearrange is required due to the discrete mission orbit
- Entire Duration: 2006~2017Y (307,808 images) / Valid, Test: 2014~2017Y



# 04 | Results | Convolutional AE



- Model Training Configurations
  - Model:

**Convolutional Autoencoder (ConvAE)** 

- Loss/Metric: Mean-squared (MSE)
- Learning rate: 1e-3
- Epoch: 40
- Opt.: Adam (Adaptive Moment Estimation)
- Results
  - Better performance metrics than any other deconvolutional models
  - Fastest model convergence within epochs

## 04 | Results | Adversarial AE



- Model Training Configurations
  - Model:

Adversarial Autoencoder (AdvAE)

- Loss/Metric: Mean-squared (MSE)
- Learning rate: 1e-3
- Epoch: 40
- Opt.: Adam (Adaptive Moment Estimation)
- Results
  - Better performance metrics than any other deconvolutional models
  - Significant loss fluctuation is observed due to the model complexity

### 04 | Results | Error Metrics

- Result of Average (50 discrete cold-start) model training and evaluation
- NNr shows weak perf on ConvAE, and PixeITCL show weak perf on AdvAE

	Convolutional Autoencoders (ConvAE)				Adversarial Autoencoders (AdvAE)			
Metric	Plain (Baseline)	m NNr	PixelTCL	Kick (Ours)	Plain (Baseline)	NNr	PixelTCL	Kick (Ours)
MSE	0.00086 (0.00001)	0.00375 (0.00006) [ $-336.0\%$ ]	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.00102 \\ (0.00001) \\ [-18.6\%] \end{array}$	0.00085 (0.00001) [+1.2%]	0.00510 (0.00101)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00821 \\ (0.01564) \\ [-61.0\%] \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.01179 \\ (0.02076) \\ [-131.2\%] \end{array} $	<b>0.00493</b> (0.00109) [+3.3%]
MAE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01726 \\ (0.00012) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04024 \\ (0.00049) \\ [-133.1\%] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01912 \\ (0.00012) \\ [-10.8\%] \end{array}$	0.01708 (0.00015) [+1.0%]	0.04803 (0.00598)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05834 \\ (0.03272) \\ [-21.5\%] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.06929 \\ (0.04973) \\ [-44.3\%] \end{array}$	0.04649 (0.00661) [+3.2%]
PSNR	33.71803 (0.05058)	$\begin{array}{c} 27.72582 \\ (0.09519) \\ [-17.8\%] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.77205 \\ (0.03890) \\ [-2.8\%] \end{array}$	<b>33.79470</b> (0.04102) [+0.2%]	$26.36942 \\ (0.95127)$	$25.21174 \\ (2.34726) \\ [-4.4\%]$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 24.23747 \\ (3.05105) \\ [-8.1\%] \end{array} $	<b>26.60216</b> (1.05993) [+0.9%]
SSIM	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84087\\ (0.00051)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63357 \\ (0.00135) \\ [-24.7\%] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.81317 \\ (0.00074) \\ [-3.3\%] \end{array}$	0.84415 (0.00055) [+0.4%]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.61335 \\ (0.02124) \end{array}$	0.60970 (0.04198) [-0.6%]	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.60392 \\ (0.01087) \\ [-1.5\%] \end{array}$	<b>0.62000</b> (0.00585) [+1.1%]



## 04 | Results | Yearly Circulation

Latent Information (below is PCA-ed) can capture hourly and monthly circulation very well





## 04 | Results | Hourly and Monthly Circulation

• Latent Information (below is PCA-ed) can capture hourly and monthly circulation very well



NOAA AI Workshop (20210121, Hong)

## 05 | Conclusion | Contributions

 This work revealed that a change in the decoder part of autoencoder could vary the entire learning of weather representations without changing the encoder part.

• This work is providing a previously-trained convolutional autoencoder model for **understanding 4-channel geostationary satellite images** using autoencoders.

 This work enhanced an image reconstruction performance by very unique deconvolutional layer named 'Kick' to minimize checkerboard artifacts issue.



## 05 | Conclusion | Future works

- Application on Real-world Prediction using Pre-trained Convolutional AE (currently working on radar echo image generation task)
- Large-scale Image Research Dataset Processing with Distributed-Parallel Computing Acceleration on **Open Research Collaboration Platforms**



**Geostationary Satellite Images** 

201208300430



MAPLE Rain Echo Estimation



NOAA AI Workshop (20210121, Hong)

### References

- Gao, H., H. Yuan, Z. Wang, and S. Ji (2020, May). Pixel Transposed Convolutional Networks. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 42 (5), 1218-1227. doi: <u>10.1109/TPAMI.2019.2893965</u>
- Hong, S. and S.-K. Song (2020, June). Kick: Shift-N-Overlap Cascades of Transposed Convol utional Layer for Better Autoencoding Reconstruction on Remote Sensing Imagery. IEEE Access 8, 107244-107259. doi: <u>10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000557</u>
- Odena, A., V. Dumoulin, and C. Olah (2016, October). Deconvolution and Checkerboard Artifacts. Distill, <u>http://distill.pub/2016/deconv-checkerboard</u>.
- Zeiler, M. D., D. Krishnan, G. W. Taylor, and R. Fergus (2010, June). Deconvolutional networks. In 2010 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Proceedings, pp. 2528-2535. doi: <u>10.1109/CVPR.2010.5539957</u>



### Acknowledgments



### ✤Data Provision



MTSAT-1R/2 observation data were provided by the **Center for Environmental Remote** Sensing (CEReS), Chiba University, Japan. COMS-1 observation data were provided by the **National Meteorological Satellite Center (NMSC)** of Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA).









Computing (HPC) Support Program for 2019 (xo) and 2020 (xo4wj) through the National IT Industry Promotion Agency (NIPA), Republic of Korea. I would also like to acknowledge the support of **NVIDIA Corporation** with the **donation of** multiple GPUs used for the initial survey of this research, and the Korea National Super-computing Center (KISTI KSC) for technical support with supercomputing resources.

Computing resources supporting this work were mainly provided by the **High-Performance** 



Computing Resource & Technical Support



2nd NOAA Workshop on Leveraging AI in Environmental Sciences - Session 26: AI/ML for Information Extraction from Data, Part 2

### Thank you for listening! Any questions?

Seungkyun Hong xo@kisti.re.kr | ontheklaud@gmail.com Korea University of Science and Technology (UST) Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information (KISTI)

