winds in rapidly updating regional models

Cloud analysis products for RAP/HRRR

- GOES cloud-top pres/temp (from NESDIS and Langley)
- METAR ceilometers, RVR (fog building)
- Polar orbiter cloud products (for RRFS)

Related surface assimilation products

- VIIRS Green Vegetation Fractions
- IMS snow cover product

Products based on time evolution

- Atmospheric Motion Vectors
- Cloud-top cooling rate

RAP/HRRR cloud analysis group members:
Terra Ladwig, Stan Benjamin, Eric James

Steve Weygandt, NOAA/ESRL – Amanda Back, NOAA/ESRL, CIRA
Cloud building / clearing using satellite products

- Current RAP / HRRR / 3D-RTMA* uses non-variational cloud “specification” method to modify cycled cloud hydrometeors
  - Work to extend RAP/HRRR cloud analysis to variational / ensemble framework (T. Ladwig)
- Significant positive impact for short-range cloud forecasts (ceiling and visibility)
  - Key for aviation applications, including HRRR-AK
- Sub-grid cloud faction included for RAPv5/HRRRv4
  - Work to project cloud DA onto cloud fraction

Skill for HRRR forecast ceiling
SUMMER (15-25 Jul 2018)

3000’ ceiling
1000’ ceiling
500’ ceiling

- with cloud DA
- without cloud DA

Benjamin et al. 2020
AMVs and Cloud-top Cooling Rates

- **GOES-16 AMVs** being assimilated into RAPv5/HRRRv4 (large total # of AMVs, important hourly obs source).

- Cloud-top cooling rate data (CTCR) complements reflectivity and merged lightning data for capturing convective initiation part of storm evolution.

### Average Hourly RAP/HRRR AMV obs counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMV Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOES-15 IR long-wave cloud (245)</td>
<td>GOES-15</td>
<td>1596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-16 IR long-wave cloud (245)</td>
<td>GOES-16</td>
<td>3076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-15 deep layer cloud top (246)</td>
<td>GOES-15</td>
<td>872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-16 deep layer cloud top (246)</td>
<td>GOES-16</td>
<td>1308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-15 deep layer wat vap (247)</td>
<td>GOES-15</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-16 deep layer wat vap (247)</td>
<td>GOES-16</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUMETSAT total (243+253)</td>
<td>EUMETSAT</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA total (242+250+252+254)</td>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>1503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Total AMV obs. per hour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>11208</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assimilating CTCR during pre-forecast hour crucial for ability of 18z init HRRR to capture storm initiation.
Evolving / future needs for satellite clouds & AMVs

Science / Tech for Clouds
- Variational / ensemble cloud DA
- Using cloud depth information
- Cloud DA: balance / retention
- Building multiple cloud layers
- Merging GOES/JPSS products, using cloud products for radiance DA

Science / Tech for AMVs
- Cloud height assignments
- QC and quality flags
- Further impact evaluation (AMV category / level, regional vs. global, land vs. ocean)

Use of time evolution
- Further testing of cloud-top cooling rate assimilation
- Consider assimilation of storm-top divergence, other information from time series of images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight Category</th>
<th>Ceiling threshold (feet)</th>
<th>Visibility threshold (miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIFR</td>
<td>&lt; 500</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR</td>
<td>500 - 1000</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVFR</td>
<td>1000 - 3000</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFR</td>
<td>&gt; 3000</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accurate cloud analysis is crucial for improving ceiling and visibility forecast skill, which is very important for aviation weather
Use of Cloud and Winds Products in NWP at NCEP

Andrew Collard
IMSG@NOAA/NCEP/EMC
How do we use cloud information in NWP?

- In our NWP systems we use cloud information from both geostationary and polar imagers.
- Cloud information from VIIRS, mapped onto the CrIS FOV, is used to constrain the thinning choices for CrIS.
  - For IASI we use AVHRR radiance cluster information within the FOV
- We are also testing the use of VIIRS cloud information mapped onto the ATMS field of view to help identify areas of supercooled water clouds – with encouraging results.
Clear Sky Radiances

• Averaged Clear sky radiance products are used for
  – Geostationary Imagers (mostly water vapor channels)
  – AVHRR and VIIRS (window channels used in sea surface temperature analysis)

• The quality of the clear-sky radiance product is crucially dependent on the quality of the cloud product.
ABI_G16 CSR Data: Cloud Mask Impact

Obs – Fcst (OmF) for surface channel

Baseline cloud mask

Enterprise cloud mask
Observed Tb [K]  Geostationary Imagers  1620.53 cm$^{-1}$
Atmospheric Motion Vectors

- The global forecast system makes extensive use of AMVs from both Geostationary and Polar platforms.
- We are currently assimilating cloud top infrared; cloud top water vapor and clear sky water vapor winds.
- ... from GOES-16, GOES-17, Meteosat-8, Meteosat-11 and Himawari-9 in geostationary orbit.
- AVHRR; MODIS and VIIRS in polar orbit.
- ASCAT from MetOp satellites (not strictly AMVs).
- We are testing LEO-GEO winds.
The Future

• Continue to explore additional winds sources
  • Currently evaluating ADM-Aeolus
• Explore the use of situation dependent observation error (proxy for height assignment uncertainty)
• How should we extract wind information from hyperspectral geostationary sounders?
  • Level-2 products or direct radiance assimilation.
Use of Clouds/Winds Satellite Data at the Ocean Prediction Center

Michael J. Folmer
Marine Forecaster
Tropical/Satellite/Training Focal Point
NOAA/NWS/Ocean Prediction Center
Ocean Prediction Center (OPC)

- Location: College Park, Maryland
- OPC issues marine warnings, forecasts, & guidance in text, graphical, and gridded format for mariners N of 31°N in the Atlantic and N of 30°N in the Pacific oceans.
- 24/7/365 operation with 20 marine forecasters that staff five operational desks every day
NWS Atlantic Marine Zones

OPC high seas forecast waters are shaded light blue, the offshore forecast waters in darker blue.
NWS Pacific Marine Zones

OPC high seas forecast waters are shaded light blue, the offshore forecast waters in darker blue.
OPC Marine Warnings

- Gale (34-47 kt)
- Storm (48-63 kt)
- Hurricane Force (≥ 64 kt)
- Freezing Spray
Surface Analysis – Method

• Global model initialization and comparison
• Previous analysis in attempt to keep close continuity
• Place first guess highs and lows
Surface Analysis – Method

- Global model boundary layer moisture convergence and wind, 1000 – 850 mb thickness
- First guess for placement of cold, warm, occluded fronts, and stationary fronts.
Surface Analysis – Incorporating Satellite

• GOES – 17 Air Mass RGB used to compare mid- and upper-level features
Surface Analysis – Incorporating Satellite

- GOES – 17 NIR 0.86 μm used to locate low level boundaries and features.
Surface Analysis – Incorporating Satellite

- GOES – 17 NIR 0.86 µm with ship and buoy observations
- Preliminary highs and lows
Surface Analysis – Metar Comparison

- Land, Ship, and Buoy observations used to help with the location of the surface features.
- Note how few observations over ocean!!!!
Surface Analysis – ASCAT (zooming in)

- Ascat -A, -B, and -C
- Preliminary highs and lows
  - Notice the circulation hidden by high clouds, but evident in ASCAT winds.
Surface Analysis – ASCAT (zooming in)

• Ascat -A, -B, and -C
• Preliminary highs and lows
  • Notice the circulation hidden by high clouds, but evident in ASCAT winds.
Mosaic IR (courtesy of AWC – Amanda T) to help time reference polar passes with the geostationary imagery.

By animating the imagery and referencing your favorite objective analysis, features are then added to Polar region bounded by the blue lines.
Surface Analysis – Polar Analysis

• Sample final product of the Alaska portion of the map.
Surface Analysis – METARS and Isobars

- The final stages including going back to the METARS and adding the objective analysis-based isobars (unless you want to draw them manually).
Stormy North Atlantic
02/13/20 – 02/15/20

Near-Storm Analysis—Future Use of AMVs

- Could lower level AMVs be used in conjunction with available ASCAT data to estimate wind speed?
- Use AMVs to compare with NWP on jets?
Questions?
michael.folmer@noaa.gov
Cloud Base and Cloud Cover/Layers

Y. J. Noh, Steve Miller, John Haynes, Curtis Seaman, John Forsythe
(CIRA/Colorado State University)

Andy Heidinger (NOAA/NESDIS/STAR)

Yue Li, Steve Wanzong, William Straka (CIMSS)

Thanks to our collaborators and Alaska users:
Jeff Weinrich (NOAA JPSS Aviation Initiative), Tom George (Aircraft Owners & Pilots Association),
Adam White (Alaska Airmen Assoc.), Nadia Smith and Rebekah Esmaili (STCNET), Carl Dierking and Jay Cable (GINA)

2020 JPSS/GOES-R PGRR Summit (College Park, MD, 24-28 Feb 2020)
yjnoh@colostate.edu
• Information of 3-D cloud structure is significant, but Cloud Base Height (CBH) retrieval is challenging to passive radiometers

• Developed a CBH/CGT algorithm constrained by Cloud Top Height (CTH) and Cloud Water Path (CWP) using a statistical analysis of A-Train satellite data (Noh et al. 2017 JTECH)

\[
CBH = CTH - \Delta Z \quad \text{(CGT; Cloud Geometric Thickness)}
\]

• Applied to S-NPP VIIRS and intensively validated against CloudSat/CALIPSO (outperforms the old operational algorithm)

• Now operational as part of the NOAA Enterprise Cloud Algorithm

  ✓ JPSS Validated Maturity Review in May 2019, v2r1 data publically available at NOAA CLASS
  ✓ Not yet operational for GOES ABI
Improvement of Cloud Cover Layers with CBH

- High and low layer thresholds: 642 hPa, 350 hPa
- Expansion from 3 layers -> 5 flight level-based layers for operational users (5–24 kft & up)
  - Testing for VIIRS (available at CIRA’s Polar SLIDER)
Improvement of Cloud Cover Layers with CBH

- Applicable to both polar and geostationary satellite sensors (JPSS VIIRS and GOES-16/17 ABI)
- Real-time display for the products available in CIRA’s SLIDER (http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu)

Improved CCL with CBH will be ‘ON’ for VIIRS soon
Also considered for ABI
VIIRS Cloud Vertical Cross-section products over Alaska
http://rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online/npp_viirs_arctic_aviation.asp

- Experimental products for aviation users
  - NOAA JPSS Aviation Initiative / JPSS Cloud Product Alaska Demonstration
- Cloud Vertical Cross-sections (CVC) along flight routes over AK are obtained by connecting Cloud Top and Base Heights from S-NPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS
  - Colors corresponding to Cloud Top Phase
  - Freezing Level from NWP + NUCAPS temperature profiles
- Provide training/display tools based on user feedback
  - User guide and summary documents available
- Thanks to Aviation users for your active participation!
  - Feedback on display and documents, PIREPs
- To be extended to ABI and develop an AWPIIS interactive display tool in collaboration with AWC

Alaska - Aviation Products

- Introduction
- Quick Guide
- Feedback
VIIRS Cloud Vertical Cross-section products over Alaska

http://rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online/npp_viirs_arctic_aviation.asp
More information can be implemented in this display platform!
(Example with PIREPs and NUCAPS temperatures requested by users)
Utilizing VIIRS DNB for Nighttime CBH/CCL

**Ongoing Improvements (1) – JPSS PGRR**

- Lack of reliable CWP input for CBH at night
- CWP from the NLCOMP (Nighttime Lunar Cloud Optical and Microphysical Properties) algorithm utilizing DNB
- Use NWP as supplementary data, and the use of MIRS-ATMS is ongoing

Example with CWPs [kg/m²] for a full-moon case over Alaska (1253-1259 UTC on 22 Dec 2018)
Improved Cloud Boundary Detection with the GOES ABI

Example of cross sections (through ABI-Identified Cirrus)

Black background = ABI-based cloud layers

Magenta: Lidar mask

New low cloud detections with ABI (black background) under cirrus

Ongoing Improvements (2) – GOES-R PGRR

- ABI-only cloud detection of low clouds can be challenging in multi-layer scenes
  - Aviation is particularly concerned with the location of low clouds (IFR conditions, icing, etc.)

- A method to detect low cloud is being developed that uses ABI multispectral information and layer humidity to identify low clouds
  - Our method is trained using ‘truth’ from spaceborne radar and lidar
  - Machine learning (Random Forest) is used to identify low clouds using ABI observations

- Our method shows improvements in ABI-based low cloud detection
  - Probability of detection of low cloud under cirrus increased from 22% to 69%
  - Allows addition of a High + Low cloud classification
Polar SLIDER for JPSS VIIRS Imagery & Cloud Products [http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu](http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu) (Satellite “JPSS”)

- Geo Color
- Cloud Top Height [km]
- Cloud Phase
- Cloud Geometric Thickness [km]
- Cloud Base Altitude [ft]

04-14 UTC 20181217
Summary and Conclusions

• The statistical CBH algorithm is operational as part of the NOAA Enterprise Cloud Algorithms and used for improved Cloud Cover-Layers (CCL)
  ✓ CBH algorithm version v2r1 for JPSS VIIRS (available at NOAA CLASS as “JPSS VIIRS Products (Granule)(JPSS_GRAN)” Don’t be confused with VIIRS EDR from the old IDPS!)
  ✓ CCL with CBH to be available soon for JPSS VIIRS, Not yet fully operational for GOES ABI

• Ongoing improvements
  ✓ Apply the NLCOMP utilizing VIIRS DNB for nighttime retrievals (+ NWP, ATMS)
  ✓ Leveraging GOES-R research for multilayer clouds using machine learning
  ✓ Validation against surface-based measurements and spaceborne active sensor:

• CIRA’s VIIRS Cloud Vertical Cross-section website and SLIDER
  ✓ User-engaged improvement of the products and display/training tools
Atmospheric Motion Vectors (AMVs)

Winds Developer Perspective...

Jaime Daniels
Winds Team Lead
NOAA/NESDIS Center for Satellite Applications and Research (STAR)
February 26, 2020
Topics

- Introducing the Winds team
- Wind Products
- Challenges
- New products and applications: Work in progress or planned
- Posters
# NESDIS/STAR’s Winds Science Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Member</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaime Daniels (Lead)*</td>
<td>NESDIS/STAR, College Park, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Key*</td>
<td>NESDIS/STAR, Madison, WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Bresky</td>
<td>IMSG, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Bailey*</td>
<td>IMSG, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Carr*</td>
<td>Carr Astronautics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houria Madani*</td>
<td>Carr Astronautics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Velden</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Wanzong</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Stettner</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Santek</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich Dworak*</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In attendance
### Meso-Winds Working Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaime Daniels*</td>
<td>NESDIS/STAR, College Park, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Velden</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Bresky</td>
<td>IMSG, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Bailey*</td>
<td>IMSG, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Wanzong</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Stettner</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Santek</td>
<td>Univ. of Wisconsin/CIMSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Apke*</td>
<td>CIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kris Bedka*</td>
<td>NASA Langley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Rabin*</td>
<td>NOAA/NSSL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goals of this working group:**

- Increase collaborations between those doing work on meso-AMVs
- Leverage collaborations to share and test new approaches, algorithms, and applications on common datasets
- Identify maturing approaches and applications that may be suited for operationalization
- Actively engage and collaborate with potential users of mesoscale AMVs
Wind Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOES-R (16/17)</td>
<td>ABI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himawari-8</td>
<td>AHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPSS (SNPP, NOAA-20)</td>
<td>VIIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA-15/18/19; MetOp A/B/C</td>
<td>AVHRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra/Aqua</td>
<td>MODIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOES-17 Winds**

**Band 14 (11um) LWIR AMVs**

- Full Disk AMV Product cadence: 60 mins
- CONUS AMV Product cadence: 15 mins
## Wind Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satellite</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOES-R (16/17)</td>
<td>ABI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himawari-8</td>
<td>AHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPSS (SNPP, NOAA-20)</td>
<td>VIIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA-15/18/19; MetOp A/B/C</td>
<td>AVHRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra/Aqua</td>
<td>MODIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOAA-20 VIIRS Winds

- **Arctic, 28 July 2018, 1942Z**
- **Antarctic, 28 July 2018, 2033Z**
Winds Developer Goals

- Develop high quality satellite-derived wind products to meet varying needs of users:
  - NWS: WFOs, NCEP (NCO, EMC, OPC, AWC, NHC, TPC, NWC, WPC, SPC)
  - U.S. DoD: NRL/FNMOC
  - International NWP Centers: ECMWF, MetOffice, CMC, JMA, DwD, MeteoFrance, BoM, KMA

- Support efforts aimed at optimizing the utilization of NOAA AMVs
  - Assimilation into global and regional NWP forecast systems to improve forecast skill
  - Situational awareness for NWS forecasters at NWS WFOs and National Centers

- Improve maintainability of satellite winds software

- Identify and pursue new approaches/applications for deriving winds from satellite measurements
## Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMV height assignment</td>
<td>Improve IR-based cloud height retrievals; Employ stereographic methods; Leverage Cloud Optimal Estimation (OE) retrieved error estimates in AMV algorithm; Close coordination with cloud team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMVs are a single level product</td>
<td>Higher spatial resolution AMVs; New methods involving new/future instruments aimed at retrieving vertical wind profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-17 ABI Loop Heat Pipe (LHP) anomaly</td>
<td>New calibration approaches; Alternative channel usage by L2 algorithms; Develop stereographic approaches to retrieve cloud height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve utilization of AMVs in NWP global and regional data assimilation</td>
<td>Improve winds product quality, Clearly communicate AMV error characteristics, Develop effective data assimilation to achieve positive impact, Close coordination between satellite and NWP developers (NESDIS/CIs with NCEP/EMC, OAR/ESRL, and other NOAA Labs (AOML))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Products and Applications

Work in progress or planned...

- Enhanced Vortex-Scale AMVs for Hurricane Applications
- GOES-GOES Stereo Winds
- Global NOAA-20/S-NPP Dual VIIRS Winds Product
- Exploration of Optical Flow Approaches for Deriving Winds from GOES-R Series ABI Imagery
GOES-16 AMVs and GLM Flash Extent Density

Hurricane Dorian
**Enhanced Vortex-Scale Atmospheric Motion Vectors for Hurricane Applications**

- **Alter** GOES-R winds algorithm configurations enabling the generation of higher spatial-temporal AMVs in tropical cyclone and severe storm mesoscale environments.
  - Reduce size of target scenes to be tracked
  - Loosen gradient constraints
  - Expand generation of visible winds above 700 mb.
  - Use 1-min imagery to derive winds

- **Envision** a multi-tiered, scale-dependent approach to AMV processing.

- All of these processing strategies are aimed at enhancing AMV coverage to **resolve the scales of the flow fields** associated with the TC vortex and its nearby environment.

*Figure 6.* GOES-16 VIS imagery of Hurricane Maria (2017), overlain with: (a) Upper-level AMVs generated using operational processing settings, and (b) AMVs generated using all of the processing enhancements noted above to capture hurricane-scale flow fields.

The HWRF wind structure forecasts for Hurricane Maria for 4 pressure levels (250, 500, 750, 1000 hPa) starting from 18 UTC 18 September 2017. Left column is for the control run, right column is for the GOES-16 AMV run. The three colors represent the 3 different wind speed ranges, purple for >64 kt wind, orange for >50 kt wind, and yellow for >34 kt winds. The black vertical line in the plot is the observed hurricane center location at the forecast time.

Assimilation of vortex-scale rapid scan AMVs in the HWRF results in consistent track and size prediction improvements for Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria.

Improvements are mainly from better initialization of the wind fields in the vortex-scale region and near environment.

We are leveraging NASA’s development of a stereo algorithm to derive AMVs from LEO-GEO combinations and GEO-GEO combinations.

**Goal:** Generate GOES-16/GOES-17 and GOES-17/Himawari-8 stereo winds

Stereographic approach is *purely geometric* that takes advantage of the high quality INR associated with the new ABI class imagers. *NWP model independent.*

Good mitigation for the GOES-17 ABI Loop Heat Pipe (LHP) failure that will provide good cloud heights needed for AMV height assignment.

We are currently working towards developing a *fully coupled approach* that combines the GOES-R series derived motion winds algorithm with the stereo-based algorithm such that it can be implemented in NESDIS operations.

*Foresee extension to GOES-VIIRS*

---

**Stereo methods rely on using imagery where target scenes are viewed from different angles to determine cloud heights using the parallax displacement of observed features such as clouds.**

---

**NOAA Collaboration (Focus on GEO-GEO combinations)**
Jaime Daniels/ Jeff Key (NESDIS/STAR); Wayne Bresky (IMSG)
Jim Carr/ Houria Madani (Carr Astronautics)

**NASA Collaboration (Focus on LEO-GEO combinations)**
Dong Wu (NASA), Michael Kelly (APL), Jim Carr (Carr Astronautics)
Computed cloud displacements are a mix of real motion and parallax. Retrieval algorithm un-mixes the two and interprets them as wind vectors in 3D (x,y,z).
- Takes advantage of tandem observations from S-NPP and NOAA-20

- Global coverage is possible

- Greater spatial coverage
  - Large orbital overlap area
  - Shorter time interval between consecutive orbits

- Develop new VIIRS wind types derived from the VIIRS SWIR (2.25um) and day-night bands.

JPSS Proving Ground (PG) funded effort (PI: Jeff Key; Co-I: Jaime Daniels)
Optical flow is defined as the apparent motion of image brightness patterns in an image sequence.

Optical flow technologies enable the retrieval of super high spatial and resolution winds in tropical cyclone and severe storm mesoscale environments.

Application: Use high spatio-temporal GOES-16/17 meso imagery for detailed diagnoses of deep convection cloud-top flow kinematics.
• Derived from dense optical flow, deep convection cloud-top divergence highlights the most intense updrafts in image loops

• From a large sample of updrafts (>10,000), overshooting tops with higher CTD were more likely to be associated with deep, severe weather producing convection

Slide courtesy of Jason Apke (CIRA)
Posters

- Use of Deep-Dive Analysis Tools to Validate GOES-16/17 Atmospheric Motion Vectors (Andrew Bailey)
- GEO-GEO Stereo 3D Winds with a Path into NOAA Operations (Houria Madani)
- LEO-GEO Stereo Winds: a Demonstration using MODIS and ABI (James Carr)
- Dense Optical Flow Applications for Operational Users (Jason Apke)
Backups
Future Satellite Launches

- GOES-18 (Launch date: Dec 2021)
- NOAA-21 (Launch date: Dec 2022)
- METOP-SG A1 (Launch date: Dec 2022)
- METOP-SG B1 (Launch date: Dec 2023)
- GOES-19 (Launch date: Apr 2024)
- NOAA-22 winds (Launch date: Dec 2026)
User Engagement from the Cloud Product Team Developers

Andrew Heidinger, NOAA/NESDIS
Cloud Team comprised of NESDIS, CIMSS & CIRA Scientists

PGRR Summit, Feb 22-25, 2020 NCWCP
NOAA Enterprise Cloud Products.

**Foundational Products**

- **Cloud Presence**
  - Mask
  - Type

- **Cloud Vertical Structure**
  - Top-Pressure
  - Geo-Thickness

- **Cloud Optical and Microphysical Properties**
  - Optical Depth
  - Particle Size

**Users**

- **NOAA Users**
  - Internal NESDIS
  - Applications/Algorithms
  - Derived Motion Winds
  - NCEP/All-Sky Radiance Products

- **Non-NOAA Users**
  - Airlines
  - PGRR Demos
  - DOE/NREL
  - NASA SNPP
  - Taiwan CWB

- **Unmet Users**
  - ESRL/HRRR
  - NWS/AWC
JPSS/VIIRS Example of Cloud Vertical Structure (CVS) Over Arctic

1.38 and 1.6 micron RGB (ice=red, water=blue, clear = black)

RGB made from CVS. (blue = low only, red=high only)

Acknowledge: Jeff Weinrich, Carl Dierking and Jay Cable for the Alaskan Cloud Demo
Nesdis Cloud Product Status (Nesdis/Cimss/Cira)

**Jpss**
- NOAA Enterprise Versions 2.1 is operational
- Cloud Mask LUT just updated to resolved a snow detection issue
- GOES-R LWP versions will be implemented soon.

**GOES-R**
- GOES-R Baseline still operational on GOES-16 and GOES-17
- NOAA Enterprise Version modified to handle GOES-17 LHP delivered and moving through ASSISTT into GOES-R GS. Implementation into DE ~March 2020

**POES, GOES**
- Older versions of NOAA Enterprise

**EPS-SG**
- We are starting to evolve NOAA Enterprise for MetImage

**Future**
- Multiple Enterprise algorithms are already employing Machine Learning and more advanced techniques are being explored.
- Fusing Sounders and Imagers
- Pushing GOES-R/VIIRS clouds to highest spatial resolution possible.
- Cloud products are part of AWS Cloud Pilot.
our goal has always been to stay as close as we can to state of the art while remaining fast enough for real-time generation

Roughly new version every 5 years but development is continual and driven by user feedback

We support:
- AVHRR, MODIS, VIIRS, Fy3DI
- ABI, AHI, GOES-I/P, MSG, MTSAT, COMS, Fy4A
- Source and ground system agnostic
Cloud Product User Examples That Fall Outside This Panel
The Cloud Team participates regularly in provided satellite cloud products to Field Campaigns.

We just finished supporting the NOAA/OAR ATOMIC mission centered over Barbados.

We benefit for exposing researchers to our latest algorithms.

We need to run these ourselves to provide highest resolution.

Support for this comes from leveraging the AWG and JPSS projects.
User Example: National Solar Radiation Database

- DOE/NREL uses GOES-16/17 Cloud Optical Properties as an input to their National Solar Radiation Database.
- NSRDB has 60,000 users per year. GOES-R GS Cloud Optical Depth is too coarse spatially (4km FD).
- Should we migrate them to GOESR-GS? Is it ok to serve data like this long-term? More than PGRR.
Direct Broadcast Users

- GOES-R and JPSS Support for Direct Broadcast has been successful in getting NOAA Cloud Algorithms into a global and diverse community.
- Developers receive no specific support for this.
- We have benefited from these interactions - no formal or funded interactions.

Example of a Russian Modification of NOAA Enterprise Cloud Properties using CSPP/LEO. (Met. Cloud Types)
Conclusions

- Cloud team makes many foundational products and several applications.
- We have many users outside of NOAA including the research community.
- We place a large priority on our impact on Winds and the All-Sky Radiance Products since the impact NWP.
- We very much want to build on this with use of cloud properties by users such as ESRL and the Aviation Weather Center.
Thank you
User Interfaces (NOAA versus NASA)

- There is benefit to having an easy and appealing interface to the public.
- NASA invests a lot of funds for this and benefits from increased public usage.
- NOAA should consider a new front-end to CLASS that is more appealing and straightforward.

NASA interface allows viewing of imagery and products and direct download.

JPSS products (JPSS_Gran) are #80 out of 112 in the drop-down menu.
WMO CGMS High Level Priority Plan (HLPP 2019 - 2023)

High Level Priority Tasks

➔ 4.3 - Foster the continuous improvement of products through validation and inter-comparison through international working groups and SCOPE-type mechanisms.

◆ Continuous improvement of products is achieved via the ICWG inter-comparisons.
◆ SCOPE-CM is set up to facilitate international activities to generate Climate Data Records.
  ● NOAA is under-represented in this community.
  ● SEP 14 Meeting (7-8 February 2019) [Most recent meeting]
    ○ SCOPE-CM #10 - AMV and CSR/ASR
    ○ Partners include NOAA/CIMSS
    ○ Project lead is JMA, but never contacted NOAA or CIMSS for input.
Cloud Climate Users

- In terms of numbers, the climate community is very large.
- NOAA Enterprise Cloud Algorithms have two climate activities
  - The Pathfinder Atmospheres Extended (PATMOS-x) on AVHRR (40+ years).
  - The NASA SNPP MODIS/VIIRS Continuity Project uses the NOAA Enterprise Cloud Height Suite of Products.
- NASA is also developing climate-centric products for the advanced Geostationary Imagers.
  - What is the NOAA role? We can’t compete with their reprocessing infrastructure.
- The International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) is being reimagined on the new advanced geostationary imagers. This will bring together research and space agencies.
  - I expect Reanalysis and Climate Users will gravitate to this for clouds and maybe aerosol climate products.
Cloud Algorithm Dependency
“The first algorithms in the chain”

Users of VIIRS Cloud Products outside of processing system

- NCEP (ECM)
- VIIRS Polar Winds (ACHA)
- USAF (ECM, indirectly via snow cover)
- GINA/NWS (ACHA, CCL)
- Field Campaigns
- Any downstream users of algorithms dependent on the cloud products