

Climate data records from operational microwave humidity sounders

Viju O. John

Thanks to R. P. Allan, S. A. Buehler, M. Milz, B. J. Soden

© Crown copyright Met Office



This presentation covers the following topics:

- Motivation
- Operational humidity sounders
- Upper tropospheric Humidity
- Initial steps of homogenization
- Evaluation of radiosonde data



Motivation

• Water vapour in the upper troposphere (UT) is an important climate variable (*Held and Soden*, 2000, Bates and Jackson, 2001)

• Good quality measurements are lacking (*Foster and Collins*, 2004)

- radiosonde data quality are not good in UT (*lots,* 19xx - 200x)

- IR (HIRS) measurements of UT water vapour available, but there is clear-sky bias in the data set (*Lanzante and Gahrs*, 2000)
- Microwave data (SSM/T2, AMSU-B, MHS) can be used except in the presence of precipitating clouds (*Greenwald and Christopher*, 2002)





- 183.31 +/- 1.00 GHz (Channel 18)
- UTH: Jacobian weighted relative humidity in the "upper troposphere" (500-200 hPa)
- A simple relation between radiance (brightness temperature) and UTH exists for IR 6.7µ T_B (*Soden and Bretherton,* 1993, 96) and AMSU T_B¹⁸ (*Buehler and John*, 2005)



Met Office Hadley Centre



• $\ln(\text{UTH}) = a + b T_B^{18}$

- Similar coefficients from independent data sets
- Retrieval precision is 2 %RH for low humidity and 7 %RH for high humidity
- Coefficients are calculated for all viewing angles

A similar relation is possible for MTH and T_B^{19}



UTH is limb corrected

Hadley Centre 3.0 3.0 Nadir 2.5 2.5 Off-nadir 2.0 2.0 Occurrence [%] Occurrence [%] 1.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 220 280 40 60 240 260 20 80 100 0 T¹⁸ [K] UTH[%RH]

Regression coefficients are calculated for each viewing angle

Limb correction of radiances not needed



UTH Spatial Distribution





Cloud Filter



- Clear sky: $T_B^{20} > T_B^{18}$
- Cloudy: $T_B^{18} > T_B^{20}$

• T_B^{18} > Threshold

© Crown copyright Met Office





% cloud contamination in IR and MW data





Clear-sky sampling issues

Met Office Hadley Centre

- Lanzante and Gahrs (2000) used radiosonde UTH data to estimate the impact
 - They found 5-10% dry bias in IR data set
 - Due to poor data quality in the UT and insufficient spatial coverage radiosondes may not be the best data to use for this
 - HIRS and AMSU-B/MHS are flying on same satellites with similar viewing geometries this gives a unique opportunity to estimate the clear-sky sampling bias
 - 2008 data from MHS and HIRS on MetOp are used; MHS pixels are mapped to HIRS grid and then sub-sampled for clear HIRS pixels



MW and IR sampling - Daily mean

Grid resolution = 1×1 degree





Daily mean time series

Area weighted tropical average time series





MW and IR sampling - # of pixels

Monthly, 1 x 1 degree grids





MW and IR sampling – monthly mean





MW and IR sampling – clear-sky bias



There are larger values - up to -35 %



MW and IR sampling - variability





MW and IR sampling - Trend?

ERA-Interim 500 hPa RH and cloud cover

Clear = cloud cover < 30%









Difference between ascending and descending passes



Strongly associated with convection



Orbital drift?



Drift in NOAA-15 may be due to orbital drift.



SNO Example







Inter Satellite Differences

Using simultaneous nadir overpasses



Large inter-satellite biases

Bias is not constant over time







European stations; data from 2001 to 2003

© Crown copyright Met Office



Summary and future plans

- Gridded daily and monthly UTH data set available from operational microwave sounders
- As cloud impact is insignificant, MW UTH data can be used to estimate CSB in IR UTH
- Significant diurnal cycle□in UTH
- Working on inter-satellite calibration, orbital drift correction, MTH retrieval, SSM-T/2, ...



Questions??

© Crown copyright Met Office