

Overview of AMSU-A Inter-satellite Calibration Bias Analysis Using the SNO Method

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service

March 2, 2011

NOAA CDR Workshop, 2011 College Park, MD

Robert Iacovazzi, Jr. (ERT, Inc.), Changyong Cao (NESDIS/StAR) and Sid-Ahmed Boukabara (NESDIS/StAR)





Outline



- AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method
- SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)
- The impact of data collocation method on SNO bias uncertainty estimates



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A









Outline



AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method



SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)



The impact of data collocation method on SNO bias uncertainty estimates



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A









Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-A Instrument Characteristics



During each eight second in-orbit scan line, the AMSU-A views three different types of targets:

- 30 Earth view (EV) positions,
- 2 views of the internal warm target (~300K), and
- 2 views of cold space (~2.73K).

EV-1 (~-48.3 Deg)

~ 50 km Footprint Near-Nadir

Frequencies: 15 Channels @ 24, 31, 50-57, and 89 GHz



~ 150 km Limb Footprint @ Limb



Typical Simultaneous Nadir Overpass (SNO)

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service



Cao et. al., J. Atmos. Ocn. Tech., 2004





National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service





Outline



AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method



SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)



The impact of data collocation in SNO bias estimation uncertainty



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A







Operational AMSU-A SNO Ensemble Dataset

Time Period: May 21, 2005 to July 31, 2006

Locations: Typically Around 80° North and South

SNO Time Threshold: 30 Seconds

Number of SNOs:

	Aqua / N15	Aqua/ N16	Aqua/ N18	N15/ N16	N15/ N18	N16/ N18
Northern Hemisphere (NH)	63	57	58	57	60	54
Southern Hemisphere (SH)	65	53	55	55	57	54
Globe	128	110	113	112	117	108



AMSU-A SNO Uncertainty Relative to NEDT

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service





Aqua and N15 AMSU-A Individual SNO Mean Bias Time Series



National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



POES and Aqua AMSU-A SNO-ensemble Mean Biases and 99% Confidence Intervals







Estimated and Observed and AMSU-A SNO biases using Aqua/AMSU-A as a Calibration Transfer Radiometer









Channel aggregated SNO biases for AMSU-A1-1 and AMSU-A1-2 subunits

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service





MetOP-A AMSU-A SNO-ensemble Mean Biases and 99% Confidence Intervals

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service





AMSU-A SNO Mean Biases and STD MetOP-A/NOAA16 Ch 4







Outline



AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method



SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)



The impact of data collocation method on SNO bias uncertainty estimates



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A







Operational AMSU-A SNO Ensemble Dataset

Satellites: NOAA18 and EOS-Aqua

Time Period: 21 May 2005 - 31 January 2007

Locations: Typically Around 80° North and South

SNO Time Threshold: 30 Seconds

Number of SNOs:

- **89** Northern Hemisphere
- 85 Southern Hemisphere





Nearest-Neighbor Data Collocation



National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



AMSU-A Ch 1 SNO Mean Bias Time Series Using Nearest-neighbor Collocation





AMSU-A SNO Mean Bias Uncertainties Using Nearest-neighbor Collocation

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service







Bilinear Interpolation Data Collocation



National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



AMSU-A Ch 1 SNO Mean Bias Time Series Using Bilinear Interpolation Collocation





AMSU-A SNO Mean Bias Uncertainties Using Bilinear Interpolation Collocation

National Environmental Satellite,

Data, and Information Service





Screening SNO Events for Anomalous Scene Inhomogeneity



- Establish a maximum brightness temperature (Tb) difference threshold around a given observation using
- Assume a target SNO Bias STD (without NE∆T) at a given channel
- 2) Relate target SNO Bias STD to scene-average maximum difference

$$\overline{\delta Tb}_{\max} = \underbrace{slope \times \left[3 \times \sqrt{NE\Delta T_{instr1}^2 + NE\Delta T_{instr2}^2} \right]}_{Re\,lating}$$

$$\overline{T \, arg \, et \, SNO \, Bias \, STD}$$

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



AMSU-A Ch 1 SNO Mean Bias Time Series After Data Quality Control





AMSU-A SNO Mean Bias Uncertainties After Data Quality Control

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service







Outline



AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method



SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)



The impact of data collocation method on SNO bias uncertainty estimates



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A





Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) and Advanced MSU Series-A (AMSU-A) Comparison

Ch #	Ch <i>f</i> (GHz)	# Bands	Nominal Bandwidth (GHz)	Nominal Beamwidth (degrees)	ΝΕΔΤ (K) (Spec.)	Nadir Polar- ization	Subunit
MSU 1	50.30	1	0.20	7.5	0.30	V	N/A
AMSU 3	50.30	1	0.18	3.3	0.40	V	A1-2
MSU 2	53.74	1	0.20	7.5	0.30	н	N/A
AMSU 5	53.596 ±0.115	2	0.17	3.3	0.25	Н	A1-2
MSU 3	54.96	1	0.20	7.5	0.30	V	N/A
AMSU 7	54.94	1	0.40	3.3	0.25	V	A1-1
MSU 4	57.95	1	0.20	7.5	0.30	Н	N/A
AMSU 9	57.29	1	0.33	3.3	0.25	Н	A1-1
Note: H indicates horizontal and V indicate vertical polarization							



Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) and Advanced MSU Series-A (AMSU-A) Comparison







MSU/AMSU-A SNO Dataset Collocation



National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A Tb Biases

Estimated from Raw Data (Oct. 1998 – Sept. 2006)





NOAA Microwave Integrated Retrieval System (MIRS) and Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM)

- > NOAA MIRS (Boukabara et al. 2006) is a microwave instrument retrieval software engineered around the CRTM (Han et al. 2006)
- CRTM utilizes atmospheric soundings and surface parameters from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS).





MIRS/CRTM Analysis for MSU/AMSU-A

- For the 14th or 15th day of each month of 2007, GDAS soundings and surface parameters interpolated in time and space to AMSU-A footprint geolocations
- CRTM used in the forward model mode to simulate both N14 MSU and N15 AMSU-A measurements
- Simulations for four nearest-nadir AMSU-A scan positions give about 3,400 (3,000) simulated MSU and AMSU-A data values for the Northern (Southern) Hemisphere region poleward of 75 deg N (75 deg S) in a given month
- Differences between simulated MSU and AMSU-A measurements for similar channel pairs is only due to their frequency and band width differences

National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A Tb Biases Projected from MIRS/CRTM for 2007 (MSU/AMSU-A center frequency

and band width differences only) and Raw Data for 1998-2006



National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service



NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A Tb Biases Estimated after MIRS/CRTM Adjustments to Raw Data





NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A Tb Biases Estimated after MIRS/CRTM Adjustments to Raw Data

	Northern Hemisphere			Southern Hemisphere			
	<u></u>	<u></u>	δT_{b} vs. time			δT_{b} vs. time	
Channel Pair	∂I_{b}	$\partial \mathbf{I}_{b}$	Slope	$\overline{\delta T_{b}}$	$\overline{\delta \mathrm{T_{b}}}$	Slope	
	(K)	*Conf. Int. (K)	(Kdecade ⁻¹) / *Significant	(K)	*Conf. Int. (K)	(Kdecade ⁻¹) / *Significant	
MSU Ch 1 / AMSU-A Ch 3	0.38	0.36	-0.32 / No	0.46	0.18	0.69 / Yes	
MSU Ch 2 / AMSU-A Ch 5	0.11	0.08	0.08 / No	-0.07	0.09	0.10 / No	
MSU Ch 3 / AMSU-A Ch 7	-0.13	0.06	0.17 / No	-0.05	0.05	0.03 / No	
MSU Ch 4 / AMSU-A Ch 9	-0.24	0.07	-0.15 / No	-0.52	0.08	0.41 / Yes	

*All confidence levels are defined at the 0.01 significance level.



Examination of Residual Biases

- Regressions of Adjusted Tb Biases with respect to Calibration-related parameters:
- ≻ Tb
- Solar zenith angle
- > Instrument space view and blackbody target counts
- Temperatures of the blackbody, antenna, scan motor, RF shelf, local oscillator, MSU dicke load, etc

Largest regressions coefficients were: Tb Bias versus

Solar Zenith Angle (0.35 in Southern Hemisphere for MSU4/AMSU-A9)

- ➤Tb (0.32 in Southern Hemisphere for MSU2/AMSU-A5)
- > MSU Space View Counts (0.31 in Southern Hemisphere for MSU4/AMSU-A9)





Examination of Residual Biases







Outline



AMSU-A instrument characteristics and AMSU-A intersatellite bias detection utilizing the SNO method



SNO-ensemble avg. biases between AMSU-A instruments at sounding channels (Chs 3-14)



The impact of data collocation method on SNO bias uncertainty estimates



SNO-ensemble biases inferred for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A







Summary



High radiometric consistency exists between concurrently operating AMSU-A instruments, with biases ~0.5 K or less.



AMSU-A1-1 on N18 and Aqua, and AMSU-A1-2 on N16, show significant biases across all platforms. Large noise anomaly found in N16 Ch. 4.



Main source of uncertainty of SNO-inferred biases in surface-influenced channels is surface emissivity and temperature inhomogeneities, and in other channels it is NEDT.



Bilinear interpolation with QC reduces N18/Aqua SNO-ensemble mean Tb bias confidence intervals (STD) at AMSU-A surface-influenced channels by nearly 68 % (76 %) on average over nearest-neighbor collocation.



Need a sufficient population (> 50 – 60 SNO events)



Calibration and diurnal-cycle related Tb biases must be estimated for all pairs of MSU and/or AMSU-A instruments used to make a time series



Frequency and band width differences between similar MSU and AMSU-A instrument channels must be carefully evaluated as a function of season and earth location.



Further analysis of Tb bias residuals with respect to sensor data must be done to isolate Tb bias related to radiative transfer model and initial condition errors, as well as instrument affects.





Backup Slides



Development of MSU/AMSU-A Fundamental Climate Data Records

- > What was done
- Isolated calibration-related biases for NOAA-14 MSU and NOAA-15 AMSU-A
- > What needs to be done
 - Using MIRS/CRTM, create a LUT of MSU/AMSU-A center frequency and band width difference Tb biases as a function of earth coordinates and season
 - Estimate diurnal cycle related MSU/AMSU-A Tb biases resulting from intersatellite orbit differences and drifts. Can be estimated using a climate model (Mears, 2003) or could use ocean-only data where the diurnal cycle is very small (Zou et al., 2006)
 - Cumulatively remove net frequency and band width difference, calibration and diurnal time-dependent Tb biases between each successive co-orbiting MSU, MSU/AMSU-A, or AMSU-A instrument pair from the first satellite in the time series to the last
 - Perform a residual analysis