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Overview

- CyanoHABs as a widespread problem
- Satellite data and methods for CyanoHAB assessment
- Introducing a new bloom metric - **Bloom Magnitude**
- A case study in Florida and Ohio
- What is the current status of the CyanoHABs in the U.S.?
- How it has changed since the last decade?
A widespread problem

Slimy lakes and dead pets: Climate crisis has brought an epidemic of toxic algae

Crisis has brought epidemic of toxic algae

Algae bloom, bacterial spike close several South Florida beaches

Algae Outbreaks Up by Nearly One-Fifth in 2019

By Anne Schechinger, Senior Analyst, Economics
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EWG has found 508 news reports about algae blooms in the country’s lakes, ponds and rivers so far this year – 18 percent more than the 429 we found in the same period last year.

Because no federal agency tracks algae outbreaks, EWG uses news reports as a proxy to track the spread of the problem.

Outbreaks of blue-green algae – actually microscopic organisms called cyanobacteria – are triggered by nitrogen and phosphorus from fertilizer and animal manure that run off farm fields and get into bodies of water.

The problem seems to be getting worse. Polluted farm runoff continues largely unabated, and the climate crisis is producing warmer weather and water temperatures, along with more rainfall, all of which feed the blooms.
Cyanobacteria Assessment Network (CyAN)

- A multi-agency project carried out by EPA, NOAA, NASA, and USGS

- Goal: Create a national assessment and monitoring capability for cyanobacterial blooms in lakes using satellite observations

- Uses cyanobacteria Index (CI) products from MERIS and Sentinel-3 Ocean Land Color Imager (OLCI)
Why do we need a new bloom metric?

- Most of the existing remote sensing research focused on detecting and quantifying the cyanobacteria biomass.
- Resource managers have limited resources for assessment and monitoring of lakes for public and environmental health.
- There was a need of a metric that focuses on the magnitude of CyanoHABs for determining viable lake management strategies.
Cyanobacteria Index (CI)
A CyanoHAB biomass indicator

- Spectral shape based algorithm to detect and quantify cyanobacteria
  (Wynne et al, 2008; Stumpf and Werdell, 2010)

$$SS(\lambda) = \rho_s(\lambda) - \rho_s(\lambda_-) + \{\rho_s(\lambda_-) - \rho_s(\lambda_+)} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_-)}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}$$

- It has been used for bloom monitoring in the Lake Erie, lakes in California, and Florida

- Correlates very well with cyanobacterial chl-a concentration and cell density

[Graph showing correlation between MERIS cyanobacteria abundance and in-situ cyanobacteria abundance]
Rayleigh-corrected Surface Reflectance ($\rho_s$)

Sensors
- MERIS: (2008-2011)
- OLCI: (2016-2018)

Daily CI to composites

CI composites provides estimates of areal cyanobacterial biomass

7-day Max Composite of Cyanobacteria Index (CI)
300x300 m pixel resolution
CI-max Composite
Compositing method
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Defining Bloom Magnitude

Addresses three key characteristics

- Intensity (biomass, concentration)
- Duration
- Time representation (seasonal/summer, annual)

Daily CI Images
Biomass (cells ml\(^{-1}\))

CI Max Composite
7-day | 14-day

Spatiotemporal seasonal mean
Defining Bloom Magnitude

**Bloom Magnitude**

Spatiotemporal mean of cyanobacteria biomass in a lake over a time period

\[
\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \text{Cl-cyano}_{p,t,m}
\]

**Area-normalized magnitude**

Bloom magnitude normalized by the lake area (\(km^2\))

*Scientific Reports, Mishra et al. 2019*
Comparing ‘Total’ and Area-normalized Magnitude
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Scientific Reports, Mishra et al. 2019
Cyanobacterial Biomass Time series (2011)

Lake Okeechobee, FL
551 sq. miles

Lake Apopka, FL
46.9 sq. miles

Lake Hancock, FL
17 sq. miles

7 Day max biomass
52 composites

(Not to scale)
Ranking of Lakes

- Lakes were ranked based on their seasonal or annual area-normalized magnitude (Rank 1: Most severe CyanoHAB issue)
- Each lake’s median rank for the observational period was used to summarize across years
- Non-parametric statistic such as, Theil-Sen’s slope was used for assessing trends in the lake ranks; and Kendall’s \( \tau \) for strength of the trend
- Ranking addresses unequal data coverage issue across states
Case Study in Florida and Ohio
Study Area

Florida and Ohio were selected

1. Lakes are known to have CyanoHAB related water quality issues
2. Different geographic and climatic regimes.
Normalized Bloom Magnitude in Florida

- Hancock Lake, Lake Apopka, Lake Dora/Beauclair/Carlton, Cuthbert Lake, and West Lake were the top five lakes based on annual area-normalized magnitude.

- Top-ranked Florida lakes exhibited little variation over time.

- Right Arm Lochloosa and Lake George declined at ~6 ranks yr$^{-1}$. 
Normalized Bloom Magnitude in Ohio

- Grand Lake St. Marys, Buckeye Lake, and Indian Lake were the top three lakes by median area-normalized magnitude ranks.

- Substantial differences in CyanoHAB magnitude among different Ohio Lakes.

- Ladue Reservoir and Clarence J. Brown Reservoir deteriorated over time (~1–1.5 ranks yr\(^{-1}\)).
Bloom Magnitude in Florida and Ohio

Gray bar color highlights the lakes in Ohio
Lake Rank Validation in Florida
based on field-measured mean Chl-a concentration

Lake Management Implications

Given there was no field observations, could the lake manager prioritize key lakes based solely on satellite-derived bloom information?
Measurement of Cyanobacterial Bloom Magnitude using Satellite Remote Sensing
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Bloom Assessment in the Lakes of the Contiguous United States (CONUS)
CONUS Satellite Dataset

**Historical**
- Timeframe: 2008-2011
- Sensor: MERIS
- Resolution: 300x300 m

**Current**
- Timeframe: 2016-2018
- Sensor: OLCI
- Resolution: 300x300 m

**CONUS Coverage**
- 37 tiles covering CONUS
How many lakes we can resolve in each state
Lake area > 1.93 mile²

$n = 1337$
Historical Baseline
2008-2011

CyanoHAB in CONUS Lakes | MERIS Baseline
Median area normalized magnitude (2008-2011)

- Low
- Moderate
- High

Cumulative Frequency vs. Area-normalized Magnitude (2008-2011)

Frequency vs. Change in Area-normalized Magnitude
Current Status
2016-2018

CyanoHAB in CONUS Lakes | OLCI Status
Median area normalized magnitude (2016-2018)
How the CyanoHAB has changed since then?
The difference between the medians
How the CyanoHAB has changed since then?
The difference between the medians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in Area-normalized Magnitude
How the CyanoHAB has changed since then?
The difference between the medians

Change in Area-normalized Magnitude
Change Dynamics in Low Risk Category

Change dynamics highlights how the lakes have changed from one risk category to another.
Change Dynamics in Low & Moderate Risk Categories

Change dynamics highlights how the lakes have changed from one risk category to another.
Change Dynamics in all Risk Categories

Change dynamics highlights how the lakes have changed from one risk category to another.
Concluding Thoughts

- Total bloom magnitude highlights CyanoHAB issues in large lakes whereas normalized magnitude highlights issues in smaller lakes.

- Overall decrease in lake number in ‘High’ and ‘Moderate’ risk classes.

- Significant increase in lake number in ‘Low’ risk class during 2016-2018.

- 15 lakes moved from ‘High’ to ‘Low’ risk class.

- 163 lakes moved from ‘Moderate’ to ‘Low’ risk class.

- 301 lakes in ‘High’ are still in ‘High’ risk class.

- Satellite data can produce actionable information that can be used for prioritizing CyanoHAB Management in Inland lakes.
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