

#### **NOAA** FISHERIES

Alaska
 Fisheries
 Science Center

Satellite analysis of shifts in phytoplankton community composition and energy flow in the new Arctic

Jens Nielsen, Lisa Eisner (NOAA AFSC) Priscila Lange, Mike Lomas (Bigelow Lab) Calvin Mordy, Phyllis Stabeno (NOAA PMEL) Kathi Lefebvre (NOAA NWFSC) Cara Wilson, Dale Robinson (NOAA PolarWatch) Jeanette Gann (NOAA AFSC)

Funded by NOAA Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) Proving Ground and Risk Reduction (PGRR) initiatives (3 years, June 2021- 2024)

#### The Arctic is warming



#### Huntington et al. 2020





Danielson et al. 2020

### Warmer ocean - influence on phytoplankton composition?





U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 3

### Increasing picoplankton and primary production





#### **Temperature and nutrients influence species growth**



**NOAA FISHERIES** 



#### **Potential climate-driven changes in ecosystems**





#### **Project goals: Can we assess phytoplankton changes using satellites?**

- Use satellite ocean color data (VIIRS, MODIS, GlobColour) for N. Bering & Chukchi seas, ground-truth with *in situ* data:
- analyze community size structure based on remote-sensing empirical chlorophyll-a -based algorithms and reflectance (R<sub>rs</sub>(λ));
- 2) Use R<sub>rs</sub>(λ) to determine changes in *Synechococcus* (small photosynthetic cyanobacteria) (Lange et al. 2020);
- 3) Explore correlative methods to assess the **probability of occurrence of harmful algae** such as *Alexandrium* spp. using satellites (Sentinel 3-A-OLCI).
- 4) Estimate **diatom** abundances from  $R_{rs}(\lambda)$



## Size-fractionated chlorophyll in-situ datasets

In-situ dataset:

- 221 samples
- **Surface samples** (depth < 10 m)
- Size-fractionated filtration
  Micro: > 20 μm
  Nano: 5-20 μm
  Pico: < 5 μm</li>

- Years: 2017 and 2019
- Months: June to September.



## Size-fractionated data – Bering Sea (<10 µm & >10 µm)

2nd In-situ dataset:

- ~1500 samples
- Surface samples (depth < 10 m)
- Size-fractionated filtration (<10 µm & >10 µm)
- Years: 2003 and 2021
- Months: August September.





## **Size fraction models**

Brewin et al. 2010



#### Bering / Chukchi data



Phytoplankton size fractions: **Micro**: > 20  $\mu$ m **Nano**: 5-20  $\mu$ m **Pico**: < 5  $\mu$ m



# Brewin et al. 2010 global vs regional





# **Brewin et al. 2010 global vs regional parameters**

**NOAA FISHERIES** 



# Brewin et al. 2010 regional

Regionally-parameterized model

Micro (>20 µm)



Pico (<5 µm)





#### **Chl-a size fraction modeling - comparisons**

Basic model used for all 3 size fractions: Size chl-a ~ total chl-a + SST

**Comparative approaches** 

- Brewin et al. 2010 (only total chl-a).
- Generalized additive model (GAM)
- Random forest model



# **Picoplankton (<5 µm) predictions from different models**





## Model performance - Bootstrap analysis (80/20)

Size class	Metric	Brewin <sub>chla</sub>	$GAM_{chla}$	$GAM_{chla_sst}$	$RD_{chla_sst}$
Pico (< 5 µm)	RMSE	0.304	0.299	0.292	0.288
Pico (< 5 μm)	$R^2$	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.33
	_	_			
Nano (5- 20 µm)	RMSE	0.296	0.261	0.263	0.271
Nano (5- 20 µm)	$R^2$	0.61	0.64	0.64	0.61

Micro (> 20 µm)	RMSE	0.259	0.241	0.245	0.258
Micro (> 20 µm)	R <sup>2</sup>	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.86



#### Combining GAM<sub>chla\_sst</sub> model and Globcolour chl-*a* data









Mann-Kendall trend test s = slope p = p-value

Mann-Kendall	Pico		Nano		Micro	
	p	S	p	S	р	S
June	<0.01*	0.48	0.76	-0.05	<0.01*	-0.46
July	<0.05*	0.35	0.91	0.02	0.17	-0.20
August	0.13	0.22	0.15	0.21	0.52	0.01
September	0.90	0.02	0.76	-0.05	0.31	0.15







## **Picoplankton 2019**



#### Synechococcus spp. 2019 (from flow cytometry)



**OAA FISHERIES** 

400

300

200

100

#### **Project goals: Can we assess phytoplankton changes using satellites?**

- Use satellite ocean color data (VIIRS, MODIS, GlobColour) for N. Bering & Chukchi seas, ground-truth with *in situ* data:
- analyze community size structure based on remote-sensing empirical chlorophyll-a -based algorithms and reflectance (R<sub>rs</sub>(λ));
- 2) Use R<sub>rs</sub>(λ) to determine changes in *Synechococcus* (small photosynthetic cyanobacteria) (Lange et al. 2020);
- 3) Explore correlative methods to assess the **probability of occurrence of harmful algae** such as *Alexandrium* spp. using satellite products (Sentinel 3-A-OLCI).
- 4) Estimate **diatom** abundances from  $R_{rs}(\lambda)$



# Phytoplankton community change – NBS/Chukchi Sea



Synechococcus

**OAA FISHERIES** 

many diatoms

- In the '*warm*' summer of 2017, there was relatively high diatom biomass.
- In the "*very warm*" summer of 2019 Synechococcus commonly exceeded diatom biomass.

Porgorzelec et al. 2017. MEPS. 569: 77-88 (top photo); https://www.whoi.edu/science/b/people/ewebb/syne.html (bottom photo)

Synechococcus to diatom biomass ratio (S:D) vs temperature



# **Synechococcus** abundance from VIIRS *R<sub>rs</sub>* (2012-2022)



#### Suomi-NPP VIIRS *R*<sub>rs</sub> data

Spatial resolution: 750 m / 2 km match-up Temporal resolution: daily / 3 days match-up

> In-situ *Synechococcus*: n = 739Match-up with VIIRS  $R_{rs}$ : n = 104

Method: Principal Component Regression following Lange et al. 2020



#### VIIRS R<sub>rs</sub> : PCA loadings





#### *Synechococcus* (cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) from VIIRS R<sub>rs</sub> predictions



Predictors	R <sup>2</sup>	MAE	Bias	RMSE	Ν
PC3, PC4	0.45	0.77	< 0.001	0.95	104
PC3, PC4, SST	0.63	0.65	< 0.001	0.79	104



#### **Project goals: Can we assess phytoplankton changes using satellites?**

- Use satellite ocean color data (VIIRS, MODIS, GlobColour) for N. Bering & Chukchi seas, ground-truth with *in situ* data:
- analyze community size structure based on remote-sensing empirical chlorophyll-a -based algorithms and reflectance (R<sub>rs</sub>(λ));
- Use R<sub>rs</sub>(λ) to determine changes in Synechococcus (small photosynthetic cyanobacteria) (Lange et al. 2020);
- 3) Explore correlative methods to assess the probability of occurrence of harmful algae such as *Alexandrium* spp. using satellite products (Sentinel 3-A-OLCI).
  4) Estimate diatom abundances from R<sub>rs</sub>(λ)



## Harmful algae blooms – increasing in the Pacific arctic



Anderson et al. 2021, 2022

Alaskan (2018–2020) *Alexandrium catenella* cyst abundance in surface sediments. Sites visited across multiple years were averaged to create maps.





#### Hendrix et al. 2021



#### Harmful algae blooms – satellites







HAB: Alexandrium

Phytoplankton species information crucial for developing algorithms and for understanding responses to climate change





# Summary

- Regionally tuned models perform best for all three size fractions, with total chlorophyll being the most important predictor, while SST helps improve the fit for picoplankton (<5um).</li>
- Preliminary analyses suggest increasing picoplankton in June and July, particularly during the warm 2017-2019. Such changes in size composition, along with earlier sea ice retreat and bloom timing, will influence plankton food web structure and function.
- Promising results of estimating Synechococcus abundance from VIIRS R<sub>rs</sub> data.

Next steps

- Develop size-fraction models using size fraction dataset (<10, >10 μm) collected in the Bering Sea (2003-2019).
- Use Synechococcus estimation model in combination with long-term (2012-2022) VIIRS data to assess potential inter-annual and decadal changes in Synechococcus abundances.
- Develop algorithms to detect harmful algae blooms (e.g. Alexandrium).

